

	ESTIMATING REP	OHI					R 97-1	5163
NITIAL CUSTOMER OR	-	TRI	GGER ASSEM	BLY ONLY				
JJM 6791- DATE RECEIVED DATE	OPENED DATE CODE	SERIAL	NUMBER N	EW SERIAL NUMBER	MODEL AND GRA	DE		
09/05/97 09	/08/97	99	95411		700			
55 26 557,11 2 5					•			
ROM:					SHIP TO:			
, _	GRICE GUN SH 307 HEALEY A' CLEARFIELD	VE BOX 10:	PA 1683		CLEARFI	LEY AV	P, INC E BOX 16 168	PA
CCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT NUMBER	N/C	CUST NO :00	15411 WILM	PROM. DATE	ESTIMATE		IPS
UN CONDITION	20-16	CO/ WE	RITE 14/2			1//	REPAIR CHAR	
] NEW						,	EXCISE	
LIGHTLY WORN							TAX	
WORN			,				INSURANCE	
VERY WORN			•				UPS	
UNREPAIRABLE							PARCEL POST	
MARRED . L			,				TOTAL	
CUSTOMER CONCER	RN AMMO	FUNCTION	FINISH	ACCURACY	FIT	11	ISPECT	
YCLE	A1	A at	7 7				IOF LOT	
RIGGER GROUP		B2 /	broke	47	E1	F1		
OLT ASSY.		B3	٠,٠		E2	F2		
ARREL ASSY.		B4	\$	D1	E3	F3		
		···						
EC. ASSY.		B5		D2	E4	F4		
		B5	G1 - 1	D2	E4 E5	F4 F5		
/OOD		B5	C1	+				
IEC. ASSY. VOOD IETAL AIN FAULT		B5	 	+				
/OOD IETAL			 	+	E5	F5	Sm	
/OOD ETAL			C2	D3	E5	F5	sm	√3 (1)
OOD ETAL			C2	D3	E5	F5	sm f	
OOD ETAL	Custum	iers T	C2	D3	E5	F5	sm J	
/OOD ETAL	Custum	iers T	C2	D3	E5	F5	sm 1	
/OOD IETAL AIN FAULT	Custum	iers T	C2	D3 7 1	E5	F5	sm J	
/OOD IETAL AIN FAULT	Custum	iers T	C2 COMMEN	D3 7 1	E5	F5	sm J	
OOD ETAL AIN FAULT	Custum	iers T	C2	D3 7 1	E5	F5	sm J	
OOD ETAL AIN FAULT	Custum	iers T	C2 COMMEN	D3 7 1	E5	F5	sm A	
OOD ETAL IIN FAULT	Custum	iers T	C2 COMMEN	D3 7 1	E5	F5	sm A Oha,	
OOD ETAL IIN FAULT	Custum	iers T	C2 COMMEN	D3 7 1	E5	F5	sm JA cha,	
OOD ETAL IIN FAULT	Custum	iers T	C2 COMMEN	D3 7 1	E5	F5	sm A cha,	
OOD ETAL IIN FAULT	Custum	iers T	C2 COMMEN	D3 7 1	E5	F5	son Ja oha, oha,	
OOD ETAL IIN FAULT	Custum	iers T	C2 COMMEN	D3 7 1	E5	F5	sm Janes Coper	
OOD ETAL AIN FAULT	Custum	iers T	C2 COMMEN	D3 7 1	E5	F5	cha,	
/OOD IETAL	Custum	iers T	C2 COMMEN	D3 7 1	E5	F5	cha,	
/OOD IETAL AIN FAULT	Custon	iers T	COMMEN	Total Grant	E5	F5	cha,	
/OOD IETAL AIN FAULT	Custon	iers T	COMMEN	Total Grant	Taver Tor	F5 - 65 - 65 - 65 - 65 - 65 - 65 - 65 -	oha,	
OOD ETAL IIN FAULT	Custon	iers T	COMMEN	D3 TO T	Taver Tor	F5 - 65 - 65 - 65 - 65 - 65 - 65 - 65 -	oha,	

MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 29, 1997

TO: Joe Mead

FROM: Mike Santillo

RE: Returned M/700ML Fire Control

CC: Jim Rabbia, Jack Kast, Dennis Sanita, Mike Paestella

Per your request, the returned M/700 ML fire control with the broken safety has been evaluated with the following results:

- ñ The safety was broken at the left corner This is the camming leg of the safety.
- ñ The snap washer was not completely assembled over the detents. Snap Washer outline on the safety ball detent spring shows that the snap washer had been assembled correctly at one time. This is evidence of disassembly and re-assembly.
- ñ The DUCO cement has been removed from the 2 front adjustment screws.
- ñ There are tool marks, consistent with impact blows, on the left side plate above the pivot pin hole near the safety assembly. These marks are in the same area as the break in the safety.
- ñ The overall housing width is .296 at the rear where the broken safety is, to .2995 at the front of the housing. The housing thickness should be .299 overall.
- ñ The sear safety cam/trigger connector engagement surface has been filed to form a radius. The radiused surface should have a sharp edge.
- ñ The pivot pin hole in the safety, left (broken) side, has a slight indent in it that is consistent in size and shape to that of the pivot pin. If the alignment of the pivot pin and the hole in the safe was off, the pin could have hit the safe causing the break.
- ñ Overtraval OK
- ñ Engagement None : Due to the filing of the sear safety cam, the fire control is in a constant state of fire. See Sketch attached
- ñ Heat Treat: Tolerance = 88/92 R15N Scale / Safe = 90 R15N
- Trigger Pull None: Due to the filing of the sear safety cam, the fire control is in a constant state of fire. See Sketch attached

SYNOPSIS: It is evident from this evaluation that this fire control has been tampered with after leaving the factory. The removal of the DUCO cement, the depth of the adjustment screws, the filing of the sear safety cam, the incorrectly assembled snap washer etc. are all tell tale signs. We believe there is no doubt that the fire control has been disassembled & re-assembled at least once on the outside. Furthermore, we believe that the evidence proves the break in the safe was caused upon re-assembly on the outside.