RD-69 REV. 8-58

## REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC.

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

Remington,

Bridgeport, Connecticut October 10, 1979

## PARKER V. REMINGTON, ET AL.

This case in Texas, with approval of the plaintiffs, has been dismissed.

The suit involved the allegations that the plaintiffs were injured in 1976 when a Remington Model 700, bolt action .243, discharged through a bathroom door while another member of the family was moving the safety lever on the firearm to the "fire" position in order to unload the gun.

The plaintiffs were unable to find a firearms' expert who would state that there was a defect in the subject gun. After several conferences with our attorney, Bob McKissick (who was also our attorney in the <u>Coates</u> case), the plaintiffs, whose injuries were minor, agreed to allow the case to be dismissed.

R. B. Sperling

RBS:hss

S 0750

#### Remityton. MPD

# REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC.

PETERS OUPIND

#### MANUFACTURERS OF SPORTING FIREARMS, AMMUNITION

SPORTING FIREARMS, TRAPS, ILION, NEW YORK TRAPS TARGETS AMMUNITION, BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT IONOKE, ARKANSAS BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT 06602 CABIE—HARTLEY, BRIDGEPORT December 8, 1978

PETERS CARTRIDGE DIVISION BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT TARGETS, FINDIAY, OHIO ADA, OKIAHOMA ATHENS, GEORGIA

TELEX: 964-201 STRATFORD, CONN.

Mr. Richard W. Hall Claims Supervisor Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. 3715 Main Street Bridgeport, Conn. 06602

#### Parker v. Remington Arms Company, Inc., et al.

Dear Dick:

Attached are summons and complaint served upon Remington's office in Wilmington, Delaware, on December 5, 1978, by Certified Mail from the State of Texas. The summons specifies that we have 20 days from date of service to respond, which means we have until December 26, 1978, to file our answer.

The complaint alleges that the plaintiffs were injured in 1976 when a Remington Model 700, bolt action 243 (Serial Number 6399226 - firearm manufactured in 1971), discharged while the plaintiffs' brother was moving the safety lever on the firearm to the fire position in order to unload the gun.

This is Remington's first notice of this accident.

Please make the appropriate arrangements to defend Remington's interests in this matter pursuant to the terms of our policy. The model allegedly involved in this present case is not one of the models currently being recalled by Remington as the result of the <u>Coates</u> settlement. However, because the allegations are so similar to the allegations in <u>Coates</u>, we would request that this case be referred to Special <u>Claims</u> in order to take advantage of the experience they obtained in handling the <u>Coates</u> matter.

We would also request that Remington be contacted before counsel for this litigation is retained.

Very truly yours,

R. B. Sperling

REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC.

il'ilen

Associate Counsel RBS:hss 2cc: Liberty Mutual



85-76460

### STATE OF TEXAS OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

Steven C. Oaks Secretary of State

November 28, 1978

Remington Arms Company, Inc. 101 W. 10th Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Re: Gregg R. Parker, et al VS Remington Arms Company, Inc., et al in the 112th Judicial District Court of Sutton County, Texas. Cause #2298.

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to the Laws of Texas, we forward herewith by Certified Mail, return receipt requested a copy of process (XX) served, ( ) delivered to the Secretary of State of the State of Texas on <u>Nov. 28</u>, 1978.

Yours truly,

Steven C. Oaks

Secretary of State

SC0:cc

CC: Mr. Jeffrey C. Anderson 125 Villita Street San Antonio, Texas 78205

• •		(	
• •		CITATION	
THE STAT	E OF TEXAS		
16	REMINGTON AR	RMS_COMPANY_INC	
		St., Wilmington, Delaware 1980)	
	By serving t	he Secretary of the State of Te	exas
Defendant.	$\dots$ in the hereinafte	r styled and numbered cause:	
YOF A	RE HEREBY COM	MANDED to appear before the112	th_District Court of
, , Si	itton	. County, Texas, to be held at the cour	thouse of said County in the City of
Soi	nora	Sutton County, Tex.	as, by filing a written answer to the
rethon of	plaintill at or he	lore 10 o'clock A.M. of the Monday next after	the expiration, of 29 days after the
date of serv	ice hereof, a copy c	of which accompanies this citation, in cause nu	nber <u>2298</u> , styled
GREGG R.	PARKER AND	CAROL ANN PARKER	Plaintiff_S,
VS. REMI	NGTON ARMS C	OMPANY, INC., ET AL	, Defendant_S,
filed in said	court on the $\underline{17}$	th day of November , 19 78	,
ç It this i	citation is not served	I within 90 days after date of its issuance, it sha	all be returned anserted
U N ISSEEI	· ·	DER MY HAND AND SEAL of said Court at o	La.
$\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{11 \text{ this } 6}{1880 \text{ EI}}$		٩.	
12-	······		
	-	- And Ma	Charry )
		District Clerk of	Sutton County, Texas
		Ну	
		OFFICERS RETURN	
	hand at		
Cane 6	) hand on the	day of, 19	
	ed at	. day of	
۳Escont on th	ed at	, within the County of	, at
"Excent on th a true cepy	ed at day of of this citation tog	, within the County of	, at
*Execut on th a true copy such potation	ed at	, within the County of	within named fit person, n. having first attached such copy of date of delivery.
*Execut on th a true copy such petition	ed at	, within the County of	within named o'clockM, within named in person, n. having first attached such copy of (date of delivery, (date)
"Execut on th a true copy such potton "Not ex The dist	ed at day of of this citation tog a to such copy of ca cented, the diligenc	, within the County of	within named fit person, m, having first attached such copy of a date of delivery.
"Escent on th a true cepy sech petition "Not ex	ed at day of of this citation to <u>o</u> a to such copy of c cented, the diligene tance actually travele	, within the County of	within named it perion, m, having first attached such copy at date of delivery. 
"Execut on th a true copy such potton "Not ex The dist	ed at day of of this citation tog a to such copy of c cented, the diligene tauce actually travele	, within the County of	within named in period, m, having first attached such copy of date of delivery. 
"Execut on th a true copy so h potton "Not ex The dist	ed at day of of this citation tog a to such copy of c cented, the diligene tauce actually travele	, within the County of	within named in person, in having first attached such copy of date of delivery. 
"Execut on th a true copy such potent "Not ex The dist follows:	ed at day of of this citation tog a to such copy of c cented, the diligene tance actually travele	, within the County of	within named in period, m, having first attached such copy of date of delivery. 
*Execut on th a true copy such potent "Not ex The dist follows:	ed at day of of this citation tog a to such copy of c cented, the diligene tance actually travele	, within the County of	within named in period, m, having first attached such copy of date of delivery. 

;

in a langelikatike

.

RETURN TO COURT COPY

NO. 2298

Ś

6

GREGG R. PARKER AND CAROL ANN PARKER

VS.

LAW

OF**FICES** 

8

т

HER

8

G

0 1 0

8 E

R

۲

L ¥

.

N

С

REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., § LARRY L. ROBERTS, Applicant for the Estate of MELTON L. § ROBERTS, DECEASED, D/E/A ROBERTS HARDWARE AND FALCON § MARINE, INC., D/E/A FALCON MARINE, INC. OF ODESSA § IN THE DISTRICT COURT

SUTTON COUNTY, TEXAS

#### PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COME Plaintiffs, GREGG R. PARKER and CAROL ANN PARKER, hereinafter referred to as Plaintiffs, complaining of Defendants, REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., LARRY L. ROBERTS, Applicant for the Estate of MELTON L. ROBERTS, DECEASED, D/B/A ROBERTS HARDWARE AND FALCON FALCON MARINE, INC., D/B/A FALCON MARINE, INC. OF ODESSA, and for cause of action, world show unto the Court as follows:

I.

Plaintiff, GREGG R. PARKER, is a resident/citizen of Waco, Texas. Plaintiff, CAROL ANN PARKER, is a resident/citizen of Sonora, Texas. Defendant, REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., is a Delaware Corporation doing business in the State of Texas, but without a registered agent for service of process. Pursuant to provisions of Article 2031a of the Texas Revised Civil Statutes, such Defendant may be served through the Secretary of the State of Texas through its registered agent for service in Delaware, REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., at 101 W. 10th St., Wilmington, Delaware 19801. Defendant, LARRY L. ROBERTS, Applicant for the Estate of MELTON L. ROBERTS, DECEASED, D/B/A ROBERTS HARDWARE, is a resident/citizen of Arlington, Texas, who may be served with process at 3009 Duff Drive, Arlington, Texas 76013. Defendant, FALCON MARINE, INC., D/B/A FALCON MARINE, INC. OF ODESSA, is a Texas corporation doing business in the State of Texas who may be served with process by serving its registered agent for service,

1.1.1 2 ay all 121978 H 14 time . (Veluct . 11 GOMA LEE TURNER. District Carl

at law and in equity, to which they may show themselves to be justly entitled.

- : :-

jh

7 '4

SOUTHERS, GOLDBERG & LYONS, INC. 126 Villita Street San Antonio, Texas 78205

50 By JEFFREY C. ANDERSON ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

. . . .

position, the rifle suddenly fired, discharging one round which went through the bedroom wall and into the bathroom area hitting both Plaintiffs and inflicting serious injuries to Plaintiffs as herein described below.

IV.

Plaintiffs would show that prior to November 21, 1976, Defendant, REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., manufactured and sold a product specifically a Remington 700 BDL Bolt Action 243, Serial No. 6399226, to ROBERTS HARDWARE and represented to MELTON ROBERTS, D/B/A ROBERTS HARDWARE, and to the consuming public that such product would safely do the job'for which it was intended; however, said product was in fact unreasonably dangerous for its intended use and contained certain defects both in design and manufacturing which were a producing cause of the injuries and damages sustained by the Plaintiffs.

v.

As a result of the incident made the basis of this lawsuit, Plaintiffs were caused to sustain serious personal injuries. Plaintiffs have suffered physical pain and suffering and mental anguish in the past, and will, in reasonable probability, continue to suffer the same in the future. Plaintiffs have also incurred reasonable and necessary hospital, medical and doctor expenses in the past, and will, in reasonable probability, continue to incur the same in the future. Plaintiffs have also suffered physical impairment in the past, and will, in reasonable probability, continue to suffer the same in the future. As a result of the above described injuries, Plaintiffs have been damaged in a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Court.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiffs pray that Defendants be duly cited to answer and appear herein, and that upon final hearing hereon, Plaintiffs have and recover judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, in a sum in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Court, that they have interest on the judgment at the legal rate, that they recover their costs of Court, and have such other and further relief, both general and special,

-3-

Joe Roper at 2714 W. Wall Street, Midland, Texas.

II.

At all times material hereto, Defendant, REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., was and is engaged in the business of designing, manufacturing and selling rifles, and specifically, a Remington 700 BDL, Bolt Action 243, Seria: No. 6399226. Such product was intended by the Defendant, REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., to reach the ultimate consumer, and be used by said consumer in the condition in which it was originally sold.

Plaintiffs would further show that LARRY L. ROBERTS is the applicant for the Estate of MELTON ROBERTS, Deceased owner of Roberts Hardware. Roberts Hardware was the retail distributor of the Remington 700 BDL Rifle, Serial No. 6399226, and that some time prior to November 21, 1976, sold said rifle in the stream of commerce and is also liable under the doctrine of strict liability, as herein set out and all allegations against Defendant, REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., also apply against the Defendant, LARRY L. ROBERTS, Applicant for the Estate of MELTON L. ROBERTS, D/B/A ROBERTS HARDWARE.

In the alternative, Plaintiffs would also show that Defendant, FALCON MARINE, INC., is the successor in interest to the business known as ROBERTS HARDWARE, having purchased the same sometime subsequent to November 21, 1976, and as such assumed and purchased all assets and liabilities of ROBERTS HARDWARE, and that as such, is also liable under the doctrine of strict liability, as herein set out, and all allegations against the Defendant, REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC., also apply against the Defendant, FALCON MARINE, INC.

### III.

On or about November 21,1976, Plaintiffs' brother, Craig Parker, while in his room located at Box 462, Sonora, Texas 76950, decided to clean and oil Plaintiffs' rifle, a Remington 700 BDL, Bolt Action 243, Serial No. 6399226. Said rifle was loaded at the time. Plaintiffs brother, Craig Parker, while holding said rifle in one hand, pushed the safety switch to the fire position in order to unload said weapon prior to cleaning. Upon pushing the safety switch to the fire

-2.-