IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

BASBARA SEYFERTH, et al.,

No. 91597

Plaintiffs,

No. 83 L 17606

JOHEF OFFENWANGER and REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC.,

Defendants.

COLLABIOS

THIS CAUSE COMING ON TO BE HEARD for trial, and for hearing on "Plaintiffs' Motion For Sanctions Against Defendant Remington," and "Defendant Josef Offenwanger's Motion To Join In Plaintiffs' Motion For Sanctions," due notice having been given and the Court having considered the written response filed by Resington, the extensive oral arguments of counsel, and the various exhibits submitted to the Court during oral argument:

THE COURT FINDS THAT Remington has unjustifiably and purposefully failed to comply with its obligations to produce relevant documents in response to document requests and that the plaintiffs and defendant/counterplaintiff Offenwanger have been substantially prejudiced by Remington's failure to comply with its obligations relating to discovery; the Court further incorposstes by reference the additional findings of the Court as set forth in the transcript of the hearing on the motions, which transcript is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

## ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED CS TOLLOWS SS

- 1. That Plaintiff's Motion For Sanctions and Defendant Ofmanwanger's Motion For Sanctions are granted.  $\frac{d215}{d}$
- 2. That the six Operations Committee minutes and their respective exhibits ("Documents") referred to in the Motions For Sanctions are admitted into evidence as business records of  $J_253$  designment Remington.
- 3. That defendant Remington may not attempt to explain or impeach any of the Documents or the statements set forth in the date.
- 4. That the Court will advise the jury with respect to the dust ments as follows:
  - (a) that in 1984, plaintiffs and defendant Offenwanger requested Remington to produce documents pertaining to the design and redesign of the safety of the Model 700 rifle at issue in this lawsuit:
  - (b) that pursuant to the rules of court, defendant Remington was obligated to produce promptly the documents in question to the plaintiff Seyferth and defendant Offenwanger, said Documents being described in these proceedings as Plaintiffs' Exhibits Nos. 35, 37, 38, 39, 42 and 43;
  - (c) that Remington unjustifiably failed to produce for and withheld the Documents from plaintiff and defendant Offenwanger;
  - (d) that Remington only produced the Documents to plaintiff and defendant Offenwanger after plaintiff and defendant Offenwanger had, through their independent

investigation, determined that the Documents existed;

- (e) that Remington produced these Documents for plaintiff and defendant Offenwanger approximately one week prior to the date on which this case was scheduled for trial; and
- (f) that the Court has admitted the Documents into evidence as business records of the Remington Arms Company and has prohibited Remington from attempting to explain or impeach these Documents or the statements set forth in these Documents.
- 5. The Court will consider petitions from the plaintiff and defendant Offenwanger for the imposition of economic sanctions against Remington in order to compensate plaintiffs and defendant Offenwanger for the attorneys' time and expenses devoted to obtaining the Remington Documents at issue and presenting the Motions For Sanctions. Remington will be afforded a reasonable opportunity to submit a written response to any petitions which may be submitted by plaintiff or defendant  $\sqrt{2.35}$  Offenwanger.

ENTERED:

Judge

JOICE AND KUBASIAK, P.C. Three First National Plaza Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 641-2600 I.I. No. 20135

JA) ES E. DAHL & ASSOCIATES 17: North Franklin Street Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 641-3245 (Altorney No. 91597) DEERLING KHAPA 38900L

DEC 1 4 1989

AULLIA PULLANIA CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT