

	<u>Boys</u>	<u>Men</u>	<u>Total</u>
6. <u>Compensator</u>			
Want	5.02%	14.41%	13.59%
Don't want	94.98%	85.59%	86.41%
Average value	\$10.87	\$15.35	\$15.05

Questions concerning the preference for the above "extras" and the value thereof, if wanted, were contained in the questionnaire. Responding sportsmen also wrote in others, as follows:

	<u>Wanted by</u>	<u>Average Value</u>
Carrying case	34	\$9.27
Automatic ejectors	13	16.70
Extra barrel	10	29.83
Ivory center sight	7	1.65
Bead front sight	5	1.50
Engraving	4	69.33
Cil finish (stock)	4	4.25
Stainless steel	2	15.00

18. Again the jack-pot question - VALUE

The value, or "what I think is a fair retail price" reported by the respondents, and broken down by style and gauge of shotgun, is shown below:

<u>All Respondents</u>					
	<u>Median Values(*)</u>				
	<u>Single</u>	<u>Double</u>	<u>Over/Under</u>	<u>Pump</u>	<u>Auto</u>
12 Gauge	\$20.11	\$47.48	\$76.02	\$50.19	\$54.92
16 Gauge	20.22	47.12	55.29	47.99	55.10
20 Gauge	24.88	44.70	59.81	48.44	49.90
28 Gauge	Insufficient data	33.00	44.77	37.15	46.53
410 Gauge	9.04	30.16	35.60	31.90	34.87

(\*) The reader may wonder why shotgun values are reported in medians while rifle values are shown in averages. This is because a number of respondents, mostly "gun nuts", gave estimates ranging upward from \$200--some reaching \$500 to \$700. These respondents probably had custom built guns in mind. The inclusion of these data disproportionately distorts the averages but has only a minor effect on medians. In the rifle data, the number of unusually high estimates was relatively few (apparently there are fewer custom built rifles, or perhaps they don't cost as much), so that averages and medians were approximately alike.