

DEc.27, 1962

Wayne Leek Ilion, N.Y.

Dear Wayne Leek;

Just got home today from Lawton Okla and the mail was stacked. Went thru it but the I found two letters from M, ke and MC Cawley there was none from you. Was anxious to find out if the mount was correct.

On the way up yesterday I stopped by Redfields in Denver gradthed a 3 hour talk with ED Hilliard. He says that he knows you well as he went to school with you. Fine guy and works closely with me here. I had one of the very first 3-9 variable s and I sure do like the scope but had troubles with 4 out of 19 we had here last fail. All different troubles. I'd telephone Ed and he'd have a new one up air mail the next morning and I'd send the defective one back.

He' sending me one of their newest right soon. Its exactly the same size as the regular 4X but is a variable 2-7. And that I predict will make a hell of a fine using scope like for us here.

I told him I was using a new handgun with a scope and he had a new NIckol scope there and loaned it to me so I have that here now. He also wanted me to try one of their low X rifle scopes that they have lengthened the eye relief out to arms length on as it has a hell of a wide field of view and will send me one right away. Has to assemble it. Also asked what kind of a mount I wanted and I said I'd send him a sketch with the actual measurements and he said he'd send it with the scope. So I'll have a lot to try.

Got a letter from Pete Brown today and he is wavy on the pistol. Says "its fine,, I guess" That he is still sort of sleeping nn it. That when a handgun starts taking the place of a rifle, with scope sights and everything, etc then it ceases to have the carrying quatities of the handgun and still falls short of the performance of a rifle in the hands of most shooters if not all shooters regardless of its potential accuracy. Says thats as far as he has got with his thinking and that with that statement of bewilderment that its now my turn. And to give him my thots in detail when I can.