

cc: W.E. Leek  
G. Martin

RD-41-B

# REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC.

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

*Remington*

*PETERS*

"CONFINE YOUR LETTER TO ONE SUBJECT ONLY"

Ilion, New York  
March 3, 1976

TO: J. P. LINDE  
FROM: F. E. MARTIN  
SUBJECT: M/700 L.H. 30/06 Ser. #6858856  
Customer Complaint-Accidental Discharge

This rifle was received from Arms Service the afternoon of Feb. 27, 1976, for examination by R & D people. Initial examination was made March 1, 1976 using the proposed changes to the Field Service Manual as a guide. Involved in the examination were, J. P. Linde, C. F. Prosser and F. E. Martin. All three people involved tested this gun and were unable to duplicate the customer described accidental discharge. In addition to this, C. F. Prosser and F. E. Martin continued the examination for the following items:

Trigger Pull - 6 1/4#

Fire Control Housing bent or misshapen - No

Alignment of Firing Pin cock notch - Acceptable

Bolt Alteration - None

Binding of firing pin head in receiver or fire control housing - No sign.

Freedom of movement of both sear safety cam and trigger connector - OK

Fit of trigger connector to trigger - Acceptable

To accomplish the above examination it was necessary to partially disassemble the fire control and as a result it was noted that the Fire Control housing contained many small metal particles and oil, also a large chip was found in the sear spring hole along side of the sear spring. It is not Remington's procedure to oil the fire control of this gun, it is supplied with a dry lubricant.

It is felt by C. F. Prosser and F. E. Martin that the fire control in the condition it was found at time of disassembly, with the oil and chips present, could have caused an accidental discharge. All parts inspected were found to be to manufacturing tolerances.

FEM:bd

PLAINTIFF'S  
EXHIBIT

3090

AL 0023425

1 of 3

DRAFT

3/2/76

TO: J. P. LINDE  
FROM: F. E. MARTIN  
SUBJECT: M/700 L.H. 30/06 Ser. #6858856  
Customer Complaint - Accidental Discharge

This rifle was received from Arms Service the afternoon of Feb. 27, 1976, for examination by R & D people. Involved in the examination were J. P. Linde, C. F. Prosser and F. E. Martin. Initial examination was made using the proposed changes to the Field Service Manual as a guide. All three people involved tested this gun and were unable to duplicate the customer described accidental discharge. In addition to this, C. F. Prosser and F. E. Martin continued the examination for the following items:

Trigger Pull - 6 1/4"

Fire Control Housing bent or misshapen - No

Alignment of Firing Pin cock notch bolt alteration - None

Binding of firing pin head in receiver or fire control housing - No sign

Freedom of movement of both sear safety cam and trigger connector - OK

Fit of trigger connector to trigger - Acceptable

To accomplish the above examination it was necessary to partially disassemble the fire control and as a result it was noted that the Fire Control housing contained many small metal particles and oil, also a large chip was found in the sear spring hole along side of the sear spring. It is not Remingtons procedure to oil the fire control of this gun, it is supplied with a dry lubricant.

AL 0023426

20f3

DRAFT

To: J. P. Linde

From: F. E. Martin

Subject: M/700 L.H. 30/06 #6858856

Customer Complaint - Accidental Discharge

3/2/76

Pg. 2

It is felt by C. F. Prosser and F. E. Martin that the fire control in the condition it was found ~~at time of~~ disassembly, with oil and chips present could have caused an accidental discharge.

FEM:bd

AL 0023427

3 of 3