

BCC: W. E. Leek
J. Brooks

March 3, 1976

Tab Line Corp.
P. O. Box 1135
Berkeley, California 94701

ATTN: Louis Siegel
Industrial Sales Manager

Re: SLICK'EM UP LUBRICANT

Dear Mr. Siegel:

I am sorry I have not responded to your telephone calls, but I did not feel our tests were at all conclusive, in comparing Slick'em up with competitive products.

To date we have only run comparative tests in our humidity cabinet on our gun steels and find your product as effective as our current protective agents but no obvious improvement. We have not found any lubricity tests as we do not have such a test designed and depend on trial & error over a long period of use.

Our current production protection agent is diluted with a solvent and as such, is only a small fraction of the cost of Slick'em up. It is doing a good job and we really have no reason to replace this product.

On our development and museum guns, we use WD-40 and have been pleased with the protection and gun function for many years. We only use small quantities, purchasing in 11 oz. cans as needed.

As you can see from the above we do not have a need for a new protective or lubricant agent.

Very truly yours,

C. W. Stephan - Section Head
Administrative & Technical Services

CWS:bd