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MODEL XP-100 SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

Model XP-100 sequence of events in modifying customer return guns and insuring integrity of production Trigger Assemblies is listed chronologically below:

| Date | Event |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10-24-78 | Remington announced recall of $\mathrm{M} / 600$ and $\mathrm{XP}-100$ pistol. |
| 11-78 | Engineering and Production effort concentrated on $M / 600$. Gunsmith write-up - assemblies for gunsmith - establishing process for Trigger Assemblies to be shipped. |
| 11-17-78 | Present process reviewed - trick test for XP-100 reviewed with assemblers - shim test added (check for clearance between Sear and Sear Block with shim Stock, with Safety in null position). |
| 12-1-78 | Initial work on defining situation for customer repair XP-100's started - process reviewed, additions and clarifications were made. |
| 12-15-78 | Process developed for customer repair pistols; Engineers tried sample run. Customer repair gunsmith trained. |
| 12-18-78 | Initial lot of 25 customer guns modified to repair process. Lot rejected, two guns failed test. (i)Shim test and trick test. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| Date | Event |
| 12-18-78 | Contd. |
|  | The trick test was re-evaluated and it was found that the engineer and gunsmith were using different techniques on standardized test. The shim test was also re-evaluated for consistent and easier operation. <br> It was also found that customers had made alterations to the Sear Housing Assemblies and they had to be readjusted to standards. |
| 12-28-78 | A second lot of 25 was modified to revised process. A large percent of pistols would not pass shim test and the new gaging technique was questioned - parts measured. |
| 1-4-79 | Engineering analysis showed second lot of pistols was using a new shipment of Safety Assemblies which had .006" less lift on Sear. $R \& D$ altered drawing to increase lift - parts were test results were ferified by using dial gage which fits into back of Receiver. Risuctr wirk conutilatio. |
| 1-10-79 | New lot of 25 pistols started to process for modification - high lift Sears were used and pistols were audited process verified. <br> STARTHN |
| 1-12-79 | Repair verified on customer pistols pistol ${ }^{n}$ being returned to customers. T production uning new sáfety levers; reject rate increased dramatically $\approx 50 \%$. |
| 1-19-79 | New safety levers delivered to Ilion - found to have too much Sear lift, . O02" over max. model drawing. |
| 1-22-79 | New Safety levers in Assemblies - mechanism would lock up when put on safe. Safety levers ground down to max. model drawing. Mechanism worked but rear of Sear interferes with Sear Housing Pin. |
| 1-23-79 | Safety levers ground to mean model drawing - still binding. Drawing chamge made to grind clearance on Sear parts tried, mechanism worked. |

## Date Event

1-24-79 Parts modified, Assemblies put together. Safety worked hard. Lubrication technique developed - parts delivered to Final Assembly - pistols put up.

1-25-79 Pistols tested satisfactorily. More parts were modified. Pistols which had been rejected were refitted with new Sear and lever.

1-26-79 More parts being modified - permanent process for part modification being developed.

1-29-79 Parts delivered to customer Repair - to continue modifying guns - 147 shipped to date.
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