## **REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC.**

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

Remington 

PETERS

"CONFINE YOUR LETTER TO ONE SUBJECT ONLY"\_\_\_\_\_

August 14, 1989

. . . . . . .

To: Bill Coleman

From: Ken Green tm Subject: Rem Clean Tests - HP White

The HP White test plan needs some improvements to tell Remington what it needs to know to make a good business decision on the product. The following are some of my comments on this plan.

- o Should measure and photograph gun lockup surfaces prior to testing and at some frequency.
- o Measure critical dimensions at start and at some frequency during test.
- o Record gun malfunctions as to type and cause. o Gun's should be held by the shoulder or jacks
- that simulate shoulder.
- o Air gauge bores at some frequency.
- Cleaning procedures must be defined. Should be worst case.
- Rem Clean must get on critical surfaces to simulate real conditions. This includes hammer and sear surfaces.
- c Rem Clean should be left in chamber as it would be by certain type of cleaning procedures.
  c Control guns with no cleaning is a good idea.
- Control guns with no cleaning is a good idea.
   Some guns should go for longer firing cyles.
   Perhaps to 2,000 rounds or more.
- In my opinion we must make sure that this test is designed so that it will give us the data to make the proper decision.

KDG/nb

CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER KINZER V. REMINGTON R2518921 BARBER - PRESALE R 0117933