IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

TERI SEI	E and I	DARR	\mathbf{EL}	SEE.
----------	---------	------	---------------	------

No. 3:13-cv-01765-BR

Petitioners,

VS.

REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, LLC., A Delaware Limited Liability Company, and SPORTING GOODS PROPERTIES, INC., A Delaware Corporation PETITION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT TO REMEDY FRAUD ON THE COURT

Defendants.

EXHIBIT 21 FIRE CONTROL LUBRICATION EVALUATION Case 3:13-cv-01765-BR Document 1-23 Filed 10/04/13 Page 2 of 8 Page ID#: 281

6.30-82

EXHIBIT 10-1

MODEL 700 FIRE CONTROL LUBRICATION EVALUATION -

Good morning. My name is Evan Ritchie; I am the Sr. Supervisor of the Ilion Firearm's Research Testing & Measurement Lab.

Today, I would like to review with you the results to date of the Model 700 fire control lubrication testing.

It is clear we have a problem in firearms due to improper cleaning and lubricating. This is evident by the visible signs of film and gum buildup on returned customer firearms, customer complaints in the field and product Tiability cases in this area. To improve this situation, the owners manual can be rewritten to include a more detailed description on "How to properly clean and lubricate the firearm." The best available lubricant would be one which offers outstanding cleaning, lubricating and rust preventitive properties. Through extensive testing by both a Du Pont Lubrication Consultant and the Remington Research Test Lab, we feel we have found a few lubricants which are much better performers than those presently known in the firearms community.

Today's presentation will review the Lesults of these tests.

Allen B. Hughes, Senior Consultant in the Engineering Service Division's Maintenance Engineering Group of Du Pont, was consulted to evaluate the many different lubricants on the market today for their capability to clean and lubricate a M/700 fire control. It is intended that the cleaning and lubrication procedure be done without disassembly from the receiver and the products used should not gum up the close tolerances of the mechanism. The products selected should be readily available on a nationwide basis, be non-flammable and non-toxic, as well as from -20°F, to 120°F.

IREM 0027954

Case 3:13-cv-01765-BR Document 1-23 Filed 10/04/13 Page 3 of 8 Page ID#: 282

The number was reduced to 25 samples for physical properties and performance evaluations. Some common names of lubricants tested which are related to the firearms industry are Hoppes & Outers Gun Oil, Steelguard, WD-40 and Molykote. The 16 lubricant properties looked at by Du Pont were:

The Test Lab looked at the more practical aspects such as cleaning, _____.

lubricating and rust prevention.

Over 40 different products were considered for initial screening.

Of the 2S samples tested, 5 were rated superior to the others by Du Pont and further testing recommended. These are:

- o Du Pont Synthetic Diesther "Wet Lubricant"
- o Krylon Ten-4
- o Sprayon 711
- o CRC 3-36
- o Houghton HLP

The Ilion Test Lab has taken these results and have developed additional tests which are more applicable to firearm evaluation. The tests include:

- o Cock and Fire Simulation
- o Environmental / Cold Test
- o. Rust Prevention Test
- o Firearms Cleaning Ability
- Relieved Fire Control Components
- o Ammunition Penetration by Lubricant
- o Fire Control Gum Buildup Evaluation

Results are as follows:

1. M/700 Cock and Fire Simulation

This test was selected as a first test because the Test Lab felt it would be an excellent evaluation of the lubricating properties of the 5 lubricants recommended by Allen Hughes.

Five M/700 firecontrols per sample lubricant were dry cycle ested to 25,000 cycles or failure whichever came first. Tests were erformed on pneumatic actuated equipment which simulate the cocking and firing of the M/700 fire control.

The results of this test are shown here on this slide (DRY CYCLE ;LIDE OF RESULTS - DISCUSS)

The Du Pont Synthetic Diesther "Wet Lubricant" finished at the top with Sprayon 711 second and CRC 3-36 third. Houghton HLP and Krylon pen-4 were eliminated from further testing due to their poor performance in this test.

2. Environmental/Cold Tests

The purpose of this test was to evaluate the lubricating properties over the temperature extremes -20°F to 120°F and to check for rust prevention.

M/700 centerfires and M/1100 shotguns were selected for this test. The test went as follows:

- At 8:00 A.M. each day bolt velocity measurements were taken by the Measurements lab utilizing the photo-diode transducer system.
- 100 rounds were fired through each gun.
- All guns were exposed to the environment by being placed on the roof for 3 hours each day.
- They were then placed in a freezer at -20°F for 3, hours.

 Trigger pull and firing pin indents were taken as guns were removed from the freezer.
- The guns were placed in a stress coat oven at 120°F overnight (16 hours).

The procedure was repeated each day for 5 consecutive days. The guns were then placed on the roof over the

IREM 0027956 1

Case 3:13-cv-01765-BR Document 1-23 Filed 10/04/13 Page 5 of 8 Page ID#: 284

week-end. At 8:00 A.M. Monday, they were removed and bolt velocity measurements were taken.

The guns were then placed in a dry cabinet for 24 hours.

The guns were removed from the dry cabinet and bolt

velocity measurements were taken.

At the completion of the test, all the guns were disassembled and examined for rust.

The results of the test are as follows:

For Function

Du Pont - 0 Malfunctions

CRC 3-36 - 1 Fail to Connect

For Rust Prevention:

Du Pont & CRC - Very little rust.

711 - Greater amounts of rust.

3. Rust Prevention Test

The third test was the Rust Prevention Test. The purpose was to evaluate the lubricants' ability to prevent rust from developing on a firearm continuously exposed to the elements. M/1100 shotguns had their stock and fenements removed, and totally degreased. All metal parts were saturated with an assigned lubricant and placed horizontally in a rack on the roof of Bldg. 52 for one month.

Results of the test are:

- CRC 3-36 Best
- Du Pont & 711 Not as good as CRC 3-36

IREM 0027957 1

4. Firearms Cleaning Ability

The purpose of this test was to compare: the cleaning capabilities of each lubricant, and the ability to continue to lubricate after an extended period of shooting.

Sixteen M/1100 shotguns were removed from the Ilion Fish & Game Club. Each lubricant was used as a cleaning agent to clean the dirty components.

The following conclusions were made during the cleaning of the Fish & Game Club shooguns:

Sprayon 711 emits a heavy fog while spraying. It
wiped off better than CRC 5-56 but not as well as
Du Pont Synthetic Diesther.

NOTE: CRC 3 36 and CRC 5-56 are slightly different

- CRC 5-56 became gummy after setting for 1/2 hour. It also was harder to remove grime (i.e., elbow grease).
- Du Pont Synthetic Diesener cleaned relatively easy and did not gum up after setting.

After cleaning; the guns were degreased, relubricated by sample lubricants and returned to the Fish & Game Club.

Three months later, those 16 guns were inspected.

The following conclusions were made while inspecting the 16 M/1100 shotguns at the Ilion Fish & Game Club.

• Sprayon 711 - performed the worst. Samples showed signs of rust and film buildup.

IREM 0027958

- CRC 5-56 performed better than 711. Samples showed signs of good lubrication but some signs of drying and light rust.
- Du Pont Synthetic Diesther performed the best of all.
 Samples all showed good signs of lubrication and rust prevention.

5. M/700 Relieved Fire Control Components

Fifteen (15) fire controls were dry cycled to 10,000 or 25,000 cycles. Five (5) fire controls had the sear safety cam, trigger and connector relieved 0.005" - ten (10) fireccontrols were by 0.010". Each fire control was degreased and lubricated before beginning of the test.

There were no hotizeable difference between the lubricants used (Du Pont, CRC and WD-40). (Discuss WD-40 Use)

6. Lubricant Contamination of Ammunition

The purpose of this test was to see if any of the three lubricants being tested would contaminate the primer and cause a misfire or delay fire.

Centerfire and shotgun ammunition were sprayed or soaked in confident three lubricants for periods ranging from 1 to 7 days and then test fired.

In 80 centerfire rounds shot: Du Pont and CRC 3-36 have expienced only one (1) misfire each. The 711 has had 50 misfi or delay fires.

In ten (10) shotshells shot: There were no misfires or del fires of these 3 lubricants. We also tested WD-40, Hoppes Oil and Hoppes Solvent just to see if they would cause mis too.

IREM 0027959

Case 3:13-cv-01765-BR Document 1-23 Filed 10/04/13 Page 8 of 8 Page ID#: 287

In 10 shotshells shot: 1 misfire (Hoppes Solvent)

In 20 centerfire rounds:

Spray: Hoppes Solvent 3 misfire - 1 delay

Hoppes Oil 3 misfire - 5 delay

WD-40 0 misfire - 0 delay

Soak: Hoppes Solvent 16 misfire - 0 delay

Hoppes Oil 8 misfire - 5 delay

WD-40 13 misfire - 1 delay

We felt this information was worthwhile to note.

Overall Portcame out equal to CRC and both well ahead of 711

7. M/700 Fire Control Gum Buildup

The purpose of this test is to induce gumming of M/700 fire controls using only assigned lubricants.

To date only Steelguard has showed signs of starting to congeal. All others are still liquid.

This is an overview of the test results.

It is easily seen that the Du Pont Synthetic Diesther "Wet Lubricant" offers:

Oustanding lubricating and cleaning properties as well as good rust preventitive.

The writing of the owners manuals on cheaning and lubricating is presently in progress. Both legal and marketing will be contacted for their input and final approval during this process.

REM 0027960