## REMINGTON ARMS COMPANY, INC. <br> PETERS all

"CONAN YOUR'LETTER TO ONE SUBJECT ONLY" $\qquad$

March 16. 1982

FROM:
SUBSET:


I have numbered each paragraph of this letter. Responses will be directed to specific numbers.

4 - The $\mathrm{M} / 600-660$ carbmes, were net, intended for overall popularity; instead its calibers and design left its uses to horseback and heavy timber.
\#2 - We, at Remington, know the reason for the ${ }^{M / 600-660}$ failure.
\#3 - M/94 Winchester and Marlin 336 arepopular because of price. The . 30-30 cartridge with its 170 grainflet nose pullet is considered by most to be totally inadequate unless used by an experienced hunter and shooter.

The $\mathrm{M} / 788$ bolt action rifle was originally offered $/ \mathrm{in} .30-30 \mathrm{Win}$ from 1967 to 1973 along with, 44 Rem. Mag. Wit wog not a big success.

H4 - I feel carbine barrels should be shorter $16 \frac{1}{6}$ " -18
Individual recoil absorption is a function of stock destem. f found the M/560. 350 Rem . Mag. more pleasant to shoot than a M/700 cambered for the same caliber.

On muzzle blast, "to each his own".
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If feel that by using a cartridge of this configuration and not redesigning the bolt head would cause a great deal of extraction problems.

Remington has a whole family of cartridges based on this case 25 Rem. . 30 Rem. . 32 Rem. and .35 Rem. The 6 mm and 243 WIn account for the good share of deer sized game taken every year
\#6 - The 6.5 Rem. Mag, and. 350 Rem. Mag. In my estimation, have bad reputations because of the gun - cartridge combination. The use of the short action required that the bullet be seated deep enough to feed. By doing this, optimum velocity and bullet performance were never


40 - Mannlicher stocks tend to defeat he purpose of a carbine by adding weight.
\#11 - No comment

412 - No comment


