Sent: Monday, October 20, 2003 1:51 PM To: Trull, John; Shoemaker, Christopher D.; Bunnell, Jim; Longo, Robert W.; Evans, Danny, Joy, Robert L.

Subject: RE: Problem with Rifle for Sports Afield Test.

Bob Joy,

Please work with Chris on this and answer John's questions.

Steve P.

-----Original Message-----From: Trull, John Sent: Monday, October 20, 2003 8:48 AM To: Shoemaker, Christopher D.; Perniciaro, Stephen; Bunnelk, Jim; Longo, Robert W.; Evans, Danny Subject: FW: Problem with Rifle for Sports Afield Test.

Gentlemen,

Please see the comment below regarding the front takedown screw on a M700 BDL SS. I just encountered the same issue with a M700 I have here. It appears that the front take down screw is too long, extending into the locking lug area of the receiver. Please took into this. Do we torque all take down screws to a specific torque setting? I would imagine that if we did, this condition would show itself pretty readily.

Also, I noticed on the M700 Classic and M700 CDL samples that I received that it is extremely difficult to move the safety from Fire back to Safe with the bolt closed in battery. Seems that there is some sort of tolerance stack up that is causing this as the safety arm moves pretty easily with the bolt out of the gun. What checks do we make in assembly for this condition? These guns were not acceptable to put in the field.

Please advise.

Thanks,

John Trull Product & Planning Manager, Firearms Remington Arms Co., Inc. (336) 548-8737 Phone (336) 548-7737 Fax john.trull@remington.com www.remington.com

-----Original Message-----From: Powell, Linda Sent: Monday, October 20, 2003 8:18 AM To: Trull, John Subject: FW: Problem with Rifle for Sports Afield Test.

Your thoughts?

----Original Message-----From: John Ross [mailto:jross@crosslink.net] Sent: Tuesday, October 14, 2003 11:53 PM To: Powell, Linda Subject: Problem with Rifle for Sports Afield Test.

Linda,

Received BDL-SS http:#S6484393 in .300 SA Ultra Mag. While I like the configuration of the rifle, when

Subject to Protective Order - Williams v. Remington