

- Loading is again based on current load process used by the FBI in current rifles and is about as simple as it gets.
- Uncertain about cheek piece issue – movement to be defined
- Bipod too high comment is confusing as we used the bipod specified by the RFP

With all of these irregularities, our Firearms Product Manager, John Trull, called for clarification from Mr. Lon Horiuchi. Mr. Horiuchi agreed that something seemed out of sorts and told John to regard these comments as information only as it appeared the gun notes might have been incorrectly assigned to our gun. Mr. Horiuchi stated that the FBI Sniper comments were not to be considered as deficiencies, but as points of information. Therefore, we have not made any modifications based on the comments because of the concern that some comments did not apply to our weapon and due to timing constraints. That being said, Remington would like to note that upon award of the contract, we are willing to work with the FBI to address any areas that can be improved upon from the perspective of the FBI Snipers. Remington has a great deal of resources, which can be readily applied to quickly resolve any areas that are deemed to be less than optimal by the FBI.

I hope we have addressed the issues presented in your September 23<sup>rd</sup> letter. Please let me know if you need further clarification or information. We will await the results of the re-test of Phase 1 but are very confident that our product will pass without problems.

I have also signed the Amendment #5 notification and will be returning it along with this letter as well as faxed copies of everything to you. Rena Hamilton will follow up with you to insure receipt.

Thank you for your continued support of Remington Law Enforcement Products.

Sincerely,

Gregory A. Foster  
Manager, Law Enforcement  
and Government Sales

enclosure  
GAF/rh