

John Trull

---

**From:** Trull, John  
**Sent:** 05/27/2003 08:31:32 AM  
**To:** Cook, Todd D.  
**CC:**  
**BCC:**  
**Subject:** RE: LV Stock

Todd,

I would say 500 rounds should suffice. Also, in addition to recoil endurance, don't you think it might be prudent to subject the stock to Jar-off and Rotation type testing as well? The reason I say this is because we have recently encountered an issue with the Model 504 stock. When subjected to Jar-off and Rotation testing, 6 of 6 stocks broke when dropped from a height of 1ft. We are having to re-evaluate the grip diameter because breaking stocks from a 1ft drop is unacceptable from a warranty perspective. Let me know what you think.

Thanks,

John Trull  
Product & Planning Manager, Firearms  
Remington Arms Co., Inc.  
(336) 548-8737 Phone  
(336) 548-7737 Fax  
john.trull@remington.com  
www.remington.com

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Cook, Todd D.  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 27, 2003 8:13 AM  
**To:** Trull, John  
**Subject:** RE: LV Stock

John,

I am on the hook for doing a durability evaluation per Product Team notes—which I don't normally get to see, so my knowing this is the exception rather than the rule. I plan to put a M700 in 300 SAUM in the stock and pound away with ammo that should be in this week. How many rounds would you need to see with no damage to take down holes and recoil lug, etc to be convinced that this is okay? I have a number in mind, but I don't want to tip you as to my test—tell me what would convince you. Thanks, John.

Todd

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Trull, John  
**Sent:** Friday, May 23, 2003 5:25 PM  
**To:** Cook, Todd D.  
**Subject:** LV Stock  
Todd,

Long and short of it is I believe the shape and lines of the stock are fine as is. Need to work on reducing the abrasiveness of the stock. Use the current Titanium stock as a bench mark. Beyond that, I believe we are good provided we are positive that we will be in good shape from a strength and durability standpoint.