Scott Franz

From: Danner, Dale

Sent: 07/16/2001 08:34:25 AM
To: Franz, Scott; Reesor, Phillip K.

CC:

BCC:

Subject: FW: 710 T & P

It would appear that the side-to-side trigger spec on the M/710 is 0.020 inch shim test per the old note (Nov 00) below. . . .

> -----Original Message-----

>From: Danner, Dale

>Sent: Thursday, November 09, 2000 2:57 PM

>To: Golemboski, Matt R.

>Cc: Franz, Scott; Zajk, Joseph J; Diaz, Danny; Keeney, Mike

>Subject: FW: 710 T & P

>Importance: High

~ N/A

>Thought I would summarize our discussion today on paper. Pls let me know of any errors/omissions on my part.

>1) Everyone is in agreement that the headspace gauges in Etown are incorrect. This item is no longer a T&P issue.

>2) The bent trigger issue will be resolved by replacing all inserts in the remaining guns from the 200 gun T&P lot. R&D Test recommends that the old inserts be scrapped or at a minimum prior to using the old inserts that the trigger pivot and overtravel screw aspects of the insert be inspected for damage. Etown will perform a simple experiment to determine trigger bend sensitivity.

>3) The side-to-side trigger variation issue will be addressed using the 0.020 shim test method. This inspection will be performed on 100% of existing T&P product as well as 100% of new product built until it can be demonstrated that the stock deformation issues have been addressed.

>4) Trigger and Sear return issues will be addressed as follows:

>a) The adjustment screws will only be manipulated on a standalone insert and only at the comparator station. Following adjustment at the comparator station the screws will be cemented.

>b) The Sear will be inspected for "free travel" at three different points in the process: the comparator station following adjustment, after the insert has been married to the receiver (Diaz bracket/screw installed), and finally when the barreled action is married to the stock.

>c) The Trigger will be measured for correct/repeatable re-engagement at the comparator station. It will again be inspected visually following marriage of the insert to the receiver. R&D Test continues to recommend that Mayfield consider measuring this re-engagement issue at the comparator on barreled actions and tracking the results for a period of time to ensure "understanding" of the issues raised during the first pass T&P.

>5) The Trigger Pull specification is now 4.0 to 5.5 lbs as confirmed via email from Bristol.

>6) During the analysis of gans A-14 and A-26 it was determined that the receiver from gun A-14 was out of specification relative to placement of the Diaz screw hole. Mayfield must provide adequate assurance that the remaining T&P product has been examined/corrected toward this issue and that T&P product conforms to design print. The consensus belief is that receivers machined on the Bridgeport (initial process) are suspect. R&D Test has agreed that culling these receivers from the T&P sample and replacing them with product produced using the latest process will be acceptable. Mayfield agrees that product culled from existing T&P and other receivers processed using the Bridgeport method must be

Subject to Protective Order - Williams v. Remington