## Scott Franz


From: Danner, Dale

Sent:
07/16/2001 08:34:25 AM
To:
Franz, Scott; Reesor, Phillip K.
CC:
BCC:
Subject:
FW: 710 T \& P
It would appear that the side-to-side trigger spec on the M/710 is 0.020 inch shimtest per the old note (Nov 00) below.
> -----Original Message-----
$>$ From: Danner, Dale
>Sent: Thursday, November 09, 2000 2:57 PM
$>T 0$ : Golemboski, Matt R.
>Cc: Franz, Scott; Zajk, Joseph J; Diaz, Danny; Keeney, Mike
>Subject: FW: 710 T \& P
>Importance: High
$>$
$>$ Matt,
$>$ Thought I would summarize our discussion today in paper. PIs le mea know of any errors/omissions on my part.
$>$
$>1$ ) Everyone is in agreement that the headspace gauges.io. Stowisare incorrect. This item is no longer a T\&P issue.
$>$
>2) The bent trigger issue will be resolved by replacing. $H$ Ifinserts in the remaining guns from the 200 gun T\&F lot. R\&D Test recommends that he old inserts tbescrapped or at a minimum prior to using the old inserts that the trigger pivot and oveiltivel screw aspects of the insert be inspected for damage. Etown will perform a simple experiment to. efsempine triggerbend sensitivity.
$>$
$>3$ ) The side-to-side trigger variationissue will be addressed using the 0.020 shim test method. This inspection will be performed on $100 \%$ oe siisting $T \&$ product as well as $100 \%$ of new product built until it can be demonstrated that the stock defori.i.hionissues have been addressed.
$>$
>4) Trigger and Sear return issi\%s, will be:addressed as follows:
$>$ a) The adjustment screws will conly be manipulated on a standalone insert and only at the comparator station. Following adjustmendsit the comparator station the screws will be cemented.
$>$ b) The Sear will be inspected \& $\circ$ "ffref travel" at three different points in the process: the comparator station following adjustment, aftertie orsegt has been married to the receiver (Diaz bracket/screw installed), and finally whee the barreled. s, tion ys married to the stock.
>c) The Trigger will be mexasuled for correctrepeatable re-engagement at the comparator station. It will again be inspected visually foltownot, tharriage of the insert to the receiver. R\&D Test continues to recommend that Mayfield consider muthsumg this re-engagement issue at the comparator on barreled actions and tracking the resietts fos, forige of time to ensure "understanding" of the issues raised during the first pass T\&P $>$

$>$
$>6$ ) During the andysis o 0 gens A-14 and A-26 it was determined that the receiver from gun A-14 was
 assurance thattie remaining Tt\& product has been examined/corrected toward this issue and that $T \& P$ product conforms to design pritit. The consensus belief is that receivers machined on the Bridgeport (initial process, ire suspect. R\&\& Test has agreed that culling these receivers from the T\&P sample and replacing themivili product prisfluced using the latest process will be acceptable. Mayfield agrees that product culled from,extioisefsP and other receivers processed using the Bridgeport method must be


