## ANNUAL REPORT TO STOCKHOLDERS by Stipulation and Order entered in

Tsachalis v. Remington Arms,

J. P. Glas: "We will demonstrate in proved fire control for bolt action \_\_ifles. ...under the heading State 22 www.work, county of commission of the short of load and unload his gun with the safety switch in the on position and prevent him from adjusting himself into trouble. This work has been pursued in two ways:

1) Make Effe present fire control more tamper-proof;

2) Design a new fire control.

Working with croduction, the legal department and DuPont consultants; a number of possible improvements to the present fire control have been identified:

- A) Warning Do not adjust trigger assembly;
- B) Special screw heads discourage adjustment by any but factory gunsmith;
- \*C) New sealant + to enable to prove conclusively that seal on adjusting screws has been broken;
- D) \$5,000 work order SuPont Engineering Department to assist in developing a cleaning and lubricating procedure for inclusion in instruction pook.

J. P. Glas: "...This is the first time [June 29, 1981] we have split off a dedicated portion of our resources to legal services. This service is usually provided on a call up basis, many times on short notice with limited time to prepare for our defense. People have been called on to interrupt assignments for as much as two or three weeks at a time.

In the last 12 months alone, Remington has been hit in judgments and settlements on Ilion plant products for \$7,947,808.

In the past, because of the non-assigned nature of our support, each settlement or judgment closed the books on that particular situation. We understand that plaintiff's attorneys are pooling their experience against us. To combat that actively and to put us in a better position to defend ourselves in future cases, we plan to dedicate three more years of effort to:

(of Z

CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER **KINZER V. REMINGTON** 

 $\underline{First}$ : Prepare more effectively to defend our product in current cases.

Second: Do detailed post-mortems on all cases, won and lost, to build an organized fund of defense strategies for future cases of a similar nature.

Third: Analyze those areas of our product line that seem to be most susceptible to court action, in an effort to recommend changes to process or product that will make us less vulnerable to legal action.

"CONFIDENTIAL by Stipulation and order entered in Tsachal/s v Remington Arms, Inc./et.al.) Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Westghester, Index No. 9344/95 ١ Ξ.

91-929\Stockhidr.tpt S/13/92:da Page 2

à of Z



CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER KINZER V. REMINGTON