PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 286

Confidential - Subject to Protective Order Williams v. Remmington

February March April 24 27 30 2 5 8 11 14 17 20 23 26 ID Task Name Duration 1 4 7 10 13 16 19 22 25 28 31 3 6 9 12 15 18 21 24 Validation 12d Model Concepts 3ď 3 Produce prototypes 7ď Bagin datail drawings 7d 5 Test prototypes 10 Prepare Reports and rec 1d Dacision 0d Manufacturing Developmen 10 Tolerance Stackup 1d 10 Finalize detail drawings 1d 11 Baseline configuration 0d 12 Production and Support 51d 13 Develop production tooli 4w 14 Pre-production parts 3w 0d 15 Produce first article 5d First article acceptance 17 Conduct First article 1w 18 1w Refine tooling 0d 19 Full rate production 0d 20 Begin shipping Fire Cont Task Summary Rolled Up Progress Project: ET56280 Prograss Rolled Up Task Date: 1/25/95 Rolled Up Milestone Milestone

Page 1

EXHIBIT NO. 30

WARION WARD

MARION WARD C/7 900

Design Criteria:

- 1. Remove Adjustments
- 2. Preset Engagement
- 3. Preset Overtravel
- 4. Preset Trigger Pull
- 5. Retrofitability
- 6. Eliminate "Fire on Safety Release" malfunction
- 7. Balanced Trigger
- 8. Tamper Proof / Evidence of tampering
- 9. Force Engagement in "safe" position
- 10. 3lb. Trigger Pull
- 11. Manufacturable

Problems:

- 1. Trigger block plunger binds in trigger
- 2. Trigger shoe out of position
- 3. Hard safe "on" forces
- 4. Safe does not force trigger engagement
- 5. Safety can be removed in the field with no evidence.

Solutions:

- 1. Alter assembly procedure to locate off trigger block plunger hole with a max diameter + .0005" pin.
- 2. Insertion of trigger model into the fire control layout found trigger engagement surface out of position by .020" in the horizontal direction and .005" out of position in the vertical position.
- 3. New assembly procedure eliminates sear being forced too far down during assembly thereby eliminating interlocking radii on sear and safety, thus eliminating hard safe "on" forces.
- 4. Added a safety strap to prevent the safety arm from flexing out thus riding over the trigger block plunger instead of forcing the plunger in the trigger hole.
- 5. Designing a new safety retaining clip which is unable to remove without being destroyed.

Current Status:

1. Parametric Model of the following components:

a. Front Spacer

b. Rear Spacer

ET56281

Confidential - Subject to Protective Order Williams v. Remmington

- c. Left & Right Side Plates
- d. Safety
- e. Trigger Housing Bushings
- f. Sear
- g. Trigger
- 2. Inserted new trigger in fire control layout
 - a. Trigger had no engagement
 - b. Trigger engagement surface is .005" too high
- 3. Min/Max analysis on fire control assembly to determine feasibility of eliminating drilling operation at assembly.
- 4. Redesigned Safety Lever Retaining Pin to eliminate disassembly of fire control in the field.
- 5. Designed an assembly fixture to aid in mass production.
- 6. Measured four fire control assemblies.

Recommendations:

- 1. Make both side plates from the same die thus eliminating tolerance variances between side plates.
- 2. Tighten surface finish specification on trigger block plunger
- 3. Demagnitize trigger block plunger and trigger
- 4.

ET56282

Confidential - Subject to Protective Order Williams v. Remmington