



ET'06817

		GONINDENTIAL	-
		Remington Arms Company Inc.	
		REBEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 West Ring Road Elizabethtown, KY 42701	
		PART A	
	ABST	RACT:	6
	INTR	ODUCTION	7
	1.0 PU	IRPOSE & SCOPE OF TEST PROGRAM	
			0
	1.1	PORPOSE	ð o
	1.2		
	2.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
	2.1	TEST SUMMARY TABLE	
	3.0	DATA SUMMARY.	13 83
	2 1	NITH A DEDECTIONS TESTS & MEASURED TO TO	
	ו. גוג	Headshare & Proof Tasting	
,	3. 1.1	3111 TI W00104 - Measure Beadmane	13
		3.1.1.2 TLW0010B - Proof Test	
		3.1.1.3 TLW0010C - Re-Measure Headspace after Proof Test	
	3.1.2	Forces	13
		3.1.2.1 TLW0010D Thing Pirchdent	
		3.1.2.2 TLWD010E. Sear/BriggerEngagement and Sear Lift	
		3.1273. TLW0010F affligger Pull Forces	
		3.1.234 14 WOUND - State On/OII Forces	
tiller.		3. V2.6 TLW00101 – Magazine Spring Force	
67	91. 1.	3,122.7 TLW0010J - Recoil Force	
8	102	3.1.2.8 TLW0010K – Lock Time	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		3.1.2.9 TLW0010AZ - Firing Pin Head to Sear Engagement	17
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	3.1.3	Weights of Major Components	
		3.1.3.1 TLW0010L - Overall Weight	
		3.1.3.2 TLW0010M – Weight of Stock Assembly	
		3.1.3.3 1 LW0010N - Weight of Balt accembly	
	3.1.4	Lensths of Major Components	18
		3.1.4.1 TLW0010P - Overall Length	
		3.1.4.2 TLW0010Q - Barrei Length	
		3.1.4.3 TLW0010R - Length of Pull	
		Jan. 2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle;	
		R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT REPORT JAN01_Rev1.doc	
× -		Page 3	
······································			

A CALL

		CONNEIDENTIAL	
		Remington Arms Company Inc. Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road	
	3.1.5	ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701 Gun Characteristics	
[		3.1.5.1 TLW0010S - Balance Point	
		3.1.5.2 TLW0010T - Drop at Heel and Comb.	
		3.1.5.3 TLW0010U - 40 lb, Trigger Pull Test	19
	3.1.6	Firearms Measurements	
		3.1.6.1 TLW0010V - Chamber Cast	
		3.1.6.2 TLW0010W - Bore Diameter.	
		3.1.6.3 TLW0010X - Groove Diameter	
		3.1.6.4 TLW0010Y - Twist Rate (.30-06)	23
		3.1.6.5 TLW0010Z - Magazine Capacity Test	
	3.2	Function & Endurance Testing	
	3.2.]	Function & Endurance Testing	
		3.2.1.1 TLW0010AA - Basic Jack Function Test (to 200 Rounds)	23, 83
		3.2.1.2 TLW0010AB - Basic Shoulder Function Test	29
		3.2.1.3 TLW0010AC - Extended Function & Endurance	
		3.2.1.4 TLW0010AD - Clean Rifles and Inspect	
		3.2.1.5 TLW0010AE - Dry Cycle to 5000 Cycles	
	3.3	ACCURACY TESTING	35
	3.3.1	Accuracy & POI Testing	
		3.3.1.1 TLW0010AF - Poper of Impact	
1		3.3.1.2 TLW00104G - Group Size at 109 Yards	
	3.4	ENVIRONMENT AL TESTENT	
	3.4.1	Temperature & Humidity Testing	
	32 32	2. 1. 11 TLWQUL的AH - Hot Function Test	
A Pilet		3. 21.2 TLW0010A1 - Cold Function Test	
		3. 1.3 TLW0010AJ - Thermal Cycle Test	
		334.1.4 TLW0010AK - Heat & Humidity Test	
	3.4.2	Debris Testing	
<b>本任任</b> 任何		3.4.2.1 TLW0010AL - Dynamic Sand & Dust Test	
		3.4.2.2 TLW0010AM - Static Sand & Dust Test	
		3.4.2.3 TLW0010AN - Field Debris Test	
	3.4.3	Misc. Tests	
		3.4.3.1 TLW(010AO - Rain Test	
		3.4.3.2 TLW0010AP - Solvent Testing	
Į	3.5	ABUSIVE TESTING	
	3.5.1	Impact Testing	
		3.5.1.1 TLW0010AQ - SAAMI Drop Test	
		Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearns Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 4	
L		pri ficiali la cara	

ET06819

٦

• ...

	Gowerwaski i wale	
	Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road Eutaberthum V. 42701	
3.5.1.2	TLW0010AR - SAAMI Jar-Off Test	
3.5.1.3	TLW0010AS - SAAMI Rotation Test	
3.5.1.4	TLW0010AT - Extended SAAMI Jar-Off Test (for Information only)	
3.5.1.5	TLW0010AU - Extended SAAMI Rotation Test (for Information only)	
3.5.1.6	TLW0010AV - Extended SAAMI Drop Test: (for Information only)	
3.5.2 1	Intentional abuse	50
3.5.2.1	TLW0010AW - Pierced Primer Test	50
3.5.2.2	TLW0010AX ~ High Pressure Test	
3.5.2.3	TLW0010AY - Obstructed Bore Test	
		8
	Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:)Test Reports ) Firearms Tests \ M710 DAT REPORT JAN01 Rev1.doc	

•

ET06820

:

COMBINETIAL Remington Arms Company Inc. REBEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701 Remington Arms Company, Inc. Test Report - Design Acceptance Test Januáry 2000 M/710 Centerfire Rifle Caliber: .30-06 Sprg. ABSTRACT: This Report covers the results of the Design Acceptance Testing procedures performed on the Remingron Centerfire Rifle during the time period from April 2000 to October 2000 at the Remington Arms Company, Inc. Research Development Technical Center located at Elizabethtown, KY. This Testing Program was organized around the goal of determining if this new product met design specifications. Several "information only" tests were also conducted during the same test program for the purpose of evaluating the products under extreme conditions. The following general grouping of test procedures were used to determine product capability. - Headipace and Proof Checks Titlial Inspections, Fests and Measurement Weights, Lengths and Gun Characteristics ients Firearms Measurements Sunctional / Endurance Testing Accuracy - Environmental Tests Abusive Testing t ing eviewing the entire series of DAT tests and the data for each of the individual tests, the Research Test Lab and the ick Design Group has concluded that this product did not fully meet the design requirements as set forth by the Test Plan. Rese West States The design is approved for Trial & Pilos production and testing with the understanding that the issues raised by the Design Acceptance testing will be addressed during the Trial & Pilot phase of testing prior to release for shipment. Report Prepared By. Nelle he 04 January 2001. ne J. R. Snudeke Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Revi.doc Page 6 CONVIETIONEINTITALL - - -----

# ET06821

CONFIDENTIAL

#### Remington Arms Company Inc. Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road

EUZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

# INTRODUCTION

12.65

6.<u>2</u>.55

The Model 710, Centerfire Rifle is a new product line for the Remington Arms Company designed to be an economical alternative for the Bolt Action Centerfire rifle customer.

This report will review and summarize the results of various Design Acceptance Tests (DAT #1 & #2) conducted during the time period April 2000 and October 2000 at the Remington Arms Company, Inc., Research & Development Technical Center located in Elizabethtown, KY.

Due to the extensive nature of the testing that embodied this new product it was determined that this report would consist of two parts. Part A (this document) presents a brief explanation of each of the individual tests that were a part of the overall test plan, along with a brief review of the results for that particular test. Part B consists of 2 large binders and contains the raw data, tabulated results and additional individual test reports associated with the test program. It is more extensive in both volume and detail and is intended to give the reader an in-depth took at each of those same tests. It gives details such as the flow charts for the DAT test plan copies of the individual test requests and the reports and/or the data that was generated during the completion of a particular test. Part B locates in one place all of the pertinent information that is summarized in Part A

Part B is divided into two parts. B.1 contains the information pertilient to Phase I of the test program and B.2 contains the information pertinent to Phase II of the test program along with copies of additional supplementary tests that were not part of the original test plan.

For the same section numbering scheme is used in Part A and in Part B.

As a firsult officing for DAT # 1 certain problems were identified and needed correction before testing continued. Design changes were made and the second test program was started (DAT # 2). Additional problems were thentified as testing continued and the decision was made to correct identified problems and conduct a ten-gun post DAT test. At the completion of this test there were still issues that needed to be resolved. Given the time schedule for introduction, the decision was made to move directly to Trial & Pilot testing where proposed design changes would be incorporated into the T&P samples and the Trial & Pilot testing would confirm the design as well as the production process.

The following is a partial listing of the open issues still to be resolved by the Trial & Pilot Testing:

- Bolt Handle Braze failures
- Followers sticking in magazine boxes.
- Inconsistent Bolt Stop Detent
- Bolt Closing Force high

Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 7 Circlastical Page 7

Se 1 424144 + 1114

#### Confidential

#### Romington Arms Company Ing. Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road Elizabethtown, KY 42701

# **1.0 PURPOSE & SCOPE OF TEST PROGRAM**

#### **1.1** PURPOSE

The purpose of this series of tests was to determine if the Model 710 Centerfire Rifle would perform as designed and meet the established function and safety criteria proposed by the Research & Development Firearms Design Group.

# 1.2 SCOPE

a leg

This report covers the testing of the Remington Model 710 Centerfire in .30-06 Win, caliber only.

# 2.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This section of the report is a summary of the test work accomplished through two khases of Design Acceptance Testing (DAT) for Remington's new Model 710 Conternine Rifle (plus a terrison post-DAT test.) The testing and associated design development improvements were completed during the time period of April 2000 and October 2000. Due to the unavailability of synthetic stocks at start of DAT testing the test plan was divided into two Phases. For Phase I testing (Rifles AIA15) three aluminum stocks were available for test. Those tests or measurements that would be affected by the use of the aluminum stocks such as weight or measurement of recoil were postponed until Phase II testing.

During Part B2 Phase II, DAT # 1 testing (Rifles B1-B30) with synthetic stocks several problems were prenitive addressed with design changes and resubmitted for test under the designation of Part B2, Phase II, DAT # 2 (Rifles C14C30). The results of this testing indicated the need for a ten-gun post-DAT test. The following table lists the results of the most recent of each of these three test series, Phase II, DAT #1, DAT #2 and the ten-gun post-DAT test. Where problems were still unresolved the decision was made to wait on the results of Trial & Pilot Testing where the most recent design changes would be incorporated into the design and process.

> Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 8 COMMENDIGIN/TUAL

> > and a stand of second

	Confidential							
	<b>ROMINGTON AFRES</b> Research & Development Tec 3 15 West Ring Ro Elizabethtown, KY 4	DERLEARLY HNICAL CENTER DAD 4270 1	Inc.					
	2.1 TEST SUMMARY TABLE							
	The following Table lists the individual test proce and the Final Status of each by individual category. Note: "For Information" or "Did Not Meet Specifications"	edures that were Final Status is	completed during the listed as "Passed", ",	ne DAT series Acceptable",				
	Passed = those characteristics for which a specifi	cation or criteri	a was required to be	met.				
	Acceptable = those for which specific criteria has	ve not been clea	rly established.					
	For Information = those characteristics without s	pecific criteria a	nd which were taken	n to provide				
	data to establish expected product design levels.			83				
	Did Not Meet Specifications = those characterist	ics for which cr	iteria of specification	is were the state				
	established but not met by the submitted sample.							
	NEW TITESTERROGEDURE:	Phasen	CRIPASE ULS	e → Finalese				
1000		Status	DATE DATE					
			OR POSTEDAL Status					
Ě	3.1 INITIAL INSPECTIONS, TESTS & MEASUREMENTS							
	331.1 Headspace & Proof Desting							
-,47	3.1.1.1, 12. W0010A. – Measure Headspace	Completed	Completed	Passed				
	3.1.1.2 TLW0010B - Proof Test	Completed	Completed	Passed				
233F	3.1.1.3 TLW0010C - Re-Measure Headspace Proof Test	Completed	Completed	Passed				
	3.1.2 Forces							
	3.1.2.1 TLW0010D - Firing Pin Indent	Completed	Completed	Did not meet S.A.A.M.I. Specifications				
	3.1.2.2 TLW0010E - Sear/Trigger Engagement & Sear Lift	Completed	Completed	Did not meet all Specifications				
	Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remin R & D Technical Center Projec file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M71 Page	agton M/710 Cente et No. 241039; TL 10_DAT_REPORT 9	πfire Rifle; W 0100 Γ_JAN01_Rev1.doc					

•• • •

.

ET06824

#### COMFIDENTIAL Remington Arms Company Inc. REGEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701 3.1.2.3 TLW0010F - Trigger Pull Forces Completed Completed Re-adjusted to meet Specifications 3.1.2.4 TLW0010G - Safe On/Off Forces Completed Completed Passed 3.1.2.5 TLW0010H - Bolt Lift and Bolt Closing Forces Completed Completed For Information Only 3.1.2.6 TLW0010I - Magazine Spring Forces For Information Completed Completed

.

.

14

Ť

Statement and the statement and an and

	3.1.2.7 TLW0010J - Recoil Force	Not Tested	Completed	For Information
	3.1.2.8 TLW0010K - Lock Time	Completed	Not Tested	For information Only
	3.1.2.9 TLW0010AZ - Firing Pin Head to Sear Engagement	Not Tested	Completed	Passed
3.1.3	3 Weights of Major Components			
	3.1.3.1 TLW0010L - Overall Weight	Not Tested	Completed	For Information Only
	3.1.3.2 TLW0010N, Weight of Stock Assembly	Not Tested	Completed	For Information Only
	3.1.3.3 TL WOO 1014 . Weight of Barrel Assembly	Not Tested	Completed	For Information Only
	1.1.3.4 TEW00100 – Weight of Bolt Assembly	Not Tested	Completed	For Information Only
3.1.4	Lengths of Major Components			
	3.1.4.1 TLW0010P - Overall Length	Not Tested	Completed	Acceptable
	3.1.4.2 TLW0010Q - Barrel Length	Completed	Completed	Passed
	3.1.4.3 TLW0010R - Length of Pull	Not Tested	Completed	Acceptable
3.1.5	5 Gun Characteristics			
	3.1.5.1 TLW0010S - Balance Point	Not Tested	Completed	For Information
	Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remin R & D Technical Center Projec file: E:\Test Reports \ Fireams Tests \ M71	gton M/710 Cente t No. 241039; TL 0 DAT REPORT	rfire Rifle; W 0100 * JAN01 Rev1.doc	

Page 10 COMETDENTIAL

ET06825

Only

	COMMOR Remington Arms C Research & Development Tel 315 West Ring R Elizabethtown, KY	WTMAL DIRPARY CHNICAL CENTE DAD 42701	Inc.	
	3.1.5.2 TLW0010T - Drop and Cast	Not Tested	Completed	Acceptable
	3.1.5.3 TLW0010U - 40 lb. Trigger Pull Test	Not Tested	Completed	Passed
	3.1.6 Firearms Measurements			[
	3.1.6.1 TLW0010V – Chamber Cast	Completed	Completed	Did not meet all Specifications
	3.1.6.2 TLW0010W – Bore Diameter	Completed	Completed	Some bore diameters oversiz
	3.1.6.3 TLW0010X – Groove Diameter	Completed	Completed	Some proove diameters over
	3.1.6.4 TLW0010Y - Twist Rate (.30-06)	Completați	Completed	Passed
	3.1.6.5 TLW0010Z - Magazine Capacity Test	Completed	Completed	Passed
	3.2 FUNCTION & ENDURANCE TESTING			
	3.2.1 Function & Endurance Testing			
	3.2.1.1 TLW0010AA - Basic Jack Function Test	Completed	Completed	Average Malf. Ra 1.35% - Passed
	3 2 12 W0010AB - Base Shoulder Function Test	Completed	Completed	Average Malf. Ra 0.17% - Passed
	3.2.1.3 LW0010AC - Extended Function & Endurance Test	Completed	Completed	Acceptable
	3.2.T.4 TLW0010AD - Clean Rifles and Inspect	Completed	Completed	For Information
823-5	3.2.1.5 TLW0010AE - Dry Cycle to 5000 Cycles	Completed	Completed	Acceptable
	3.3 ACCURACY			
	3.3.1 Accuracy & POI Testing			
	3.3.1.1 TLW0010AF – Point of Impact	Not Done	Completed	Acceptable
	3.3.1.2 TLW0010AG - Group Size at 100 Yards	Completed	Completed	Acceptable
	Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remin R & D Technical Center Projec file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M71 Page J ©OWIFIDUS:	gton M/710 Cente & No. 241039; TL 0_DAT_REPORT 1] M/inUAU	rfire Rifle; W 0100 [_JAN01_Rev1.doc	

ŗ

_	<b>Eginington Arms C</b> Research & Development Te 315 West Ring F Elizabethtown, Ky	OINPARY CHNICAL CENTER ROAD	Inc.	
3.4	ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING			
3.	A.1 Temperature & Humidity Testing			
	3.4.1.1 TLW0010AH - Hot Function Test	Completed	Completed	Acceptable
	3.4.1.2 TLW0010AI - Cold Function Test	Completed	Completed	Acceptable
	3.4.1.3 TLW0010AJ - Thermal Cycle Test	Completed	Not Tested	Acceptable
	3.4.1.4 TLW0010AK - Heat & Humidity Test	Completed	Not Tested	Acceptable
3.4	1.2. Debris Testing			i de s
	3.4.2.1 TLW0010AL Dynamic Sand & Dust Test	Completed	Completed	Acceptable
	3.4.2.2 TLW0010AM - Static Sand & Dust Test	Completed	Completed	Acceptable
	3.4.2.3 TLW0010AN – Field Debris Test	Issues	Completed S.	Acceptable
3.	L3 Mise. Tests			
	3.4.3.1 TLW0010AO - Rain Test	Completed	Completed	Acceptable
	3.4.3.2 TLW0010AP - Solvent Test		Not Tested	Acceptable
3.5	ABUSIVE TESTING.		······	
3	.5.1 Impact Testing			
	3.5.1.1 31.W0010AQ SAAMII Drop Testing	Not Tested	Completed	Passed
18: J.	3.5.1.2 THW0610AR - SAAMI Jar-Off Testing	Not Tested	Completed	Passed
- 63	3313 TLW0010AS - SAAMI Rotation Testing	Not Tested	Completed	Passed
	3.5.1.4 TLW0010AT - Extended SAAMI Jar-Off Testing	Not Tested	Completed	Information On
	3.5.1.5 TLW0010AU - Extended SAAMI Rotation Test	Not Tested	Completed	Information On
	3.5.1.6 TLW0010AV - Extended SAAMI Drop Test	Not Tested	Completed	Information On
3	.5.2 Intentional Abuse			
	3.5.2.1 TLW0010AW - Pierced Primer Test	Completed	Not Tested	Acceptable
	3.5.2.2 TLW0010AX - High Pressure Test	Completed	Not Tested	Acceptable
	3.5.2.3 TLW0010AY - Obstructed Bore Test	Completed	Not Tested	Acceptable
	Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remi R & D Technical Center Proje file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M7 Page (2000/05/00/06	ngton M/710 Cente ct No. 241039; TL 10_DAT_REPORT 12 #MDIDAR.	rfire Rifle; W 0100 f_JAN01_Rev1.doc	

#### COMPIDENTIAL

#### Remington Arms Company Inc. Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road Elizabethtown, KY 42701

# 3.0 DATA SUMMARY

# 3.1 INITIAL INSPECTIONS, TESTS & MEASUREMENTS

#### 3.1.1 Headspace & Proof Testing

## 3.1.1.1 TLW0010A - Measure Headspace

Headspace for this firearm is the distance between the face of the bolt and the point of contact on the shoulder of the chamber. Excessive headspace can result in an unsupported shell case allowing the case to stretch and potentially rupture and thereby dump high pressure gas into the breech area. This pressure can potentially cause damage to the firearm and/or shooter. Headspace dimensions are clearly specified by both Remington and S.A.A.Ma. Remington specifications for centerfire rifles require that headspace not exceed "min." chamber 1009".

For rifles A-1 to A-15 (Phase I) and rifles B-1 to B-30 (Phase II) all of the rifles were in the range of militation min. +. 004 prior to proof testing. (See Section TLW0010A; B.1 & B.2).

# 3.1.1.2 TLW0010B - Proof Test

The proof test requires that a firearm be subjected to streast one round that generates a substantially higher chamber pressure than that which it is expected to be subjected to during normal use with standard ammunition. Prior to and immediately after a proof found is fired the rifle is experimed for any indications of damage due to excessive pressure.

Inspection of all rifles, both Phase I and Phase II, after proof did not exhibit indications of damage due to Bi pressure for boles, locking surfaces, chambers or other components. (See Section TLW0010B; B.1 & B.2.)

#### TLW0010C - Re-Measure Headspace after Proof Test

After proof, headspace is again measured on each firearm. All rifles must remain under the min.+.009" limit. In addition, there is a requirement of the test plan that no headspace measurement can be greater than .002" from the pre-proof measurement. All rifles tested met this criterion. (See Section TLW0010C; B.1 & B.2)

#### 3.1.2 Forces

3.1.1.3

1. Kessa

in the second second

# 3.1.2.1 TLW0010D - Firing Pin Indent

Firing Pin Indent is measured to insure that there is sufficient energy available when the firing pin impacts the cartridge primer to initiate ignition. The depth of the firing pin indent should be at least 0.017" "...in order to insure against misfires chargeable to the firearm..." (Ref. S.A.A.M.I. Technical Committee Manual, Vol. VII Centerfire Rifle, Section 7-50.03)

Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:NTest Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 13 COMMENDETARYIDAM.

# ET06828

#### COMFIDENTIAL

# Remington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD

ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

The test lab uses the average of three trials to determine the value of each rifle's indent. For Phase I rifles (Al-Al5), the mean of all 15 rifles was 0.01887". The minimum value for this sample was 0.01770" and the

maximum value was 0.01970".

<u>.</u>

For Phase II, the mean of all thirty rifles was 0.01722". However, in this sample there were 10 rifles that measured less than 0.017". The minimum value observed was 0.015". There are currently no known plans to change the design to address this discrepancy relative to the recommended S.A.A.M.I. standard. It should be noted that no misfires occurred during DAT testing that could be attributed to the rifle. (See Section TLW0010E; B.1 & B.2.)

#### 3.1.2.2 TLW0010E - Sear/Trigger Engagement and Sear Lift

The amount of engagement (or overlap) of the Sear Safety Cam and the Trigger connector is required to be 0.020" to 0.025" with the bolt in the fully closed and locked position. In addition, the required amount of the Sear Safety Cam when the safety in placed in the "Fire" must be a minimum of 0.006" and a maximum of 0.018". 1 For these values, the test lab uses the average of three trials. 2

Phase I measurements revealed that the mean for Sear/Trigger Engagement was 0,02265" with a minimum value of 0.01773" and a maximum value of 0.02870". There were two values below the athimum specification of 0.020" and two values above the maximum specification value of 0.025". For the Sear Lift specification the mean of the fifteen samples was 0.00959" with a minimum value of 0.007277 and a maximum value of 0.01137".

Phase II measurement for the mean of the thirty samples for Sear/Trigger Engagement was 0.02419" with a minimum value of 0.01990" and a maximum value of 0.02750". There was one value below the minimum specification of 0.020" and four values above the specification of 0.025". For the Sear Lift specification the mean of the thirty samples was 0.01596" with a minimum value of 0.01140" and a maximum value of 0.01870". There was Disgalutin the sample that was greater than the upper specification of 0.018". There were no values below the lower specification of 0.006?. (See Section TLW0010E; B.1 & B.2)

#### 3.1.2.3 TLW0010F - Trigger Pull Forces

Trigger pull is the force required to manually operate the trigger and release the firing pin and is measured in accordance to S.A.A.M.I. (Ref. S.A.A.M.I. Technical Committee Manual, Vol. VII Centerfire Rifle, Section 7-150.01note that S.A.A.M.I. sets only a minimum trigger pull of 3.0 lb.) and Remington standard test procedures. The placement of the spring scale force gauge was in the center of the finger radius of the trigger and the direction of pull was horizontal and parallel to the long axis of the barrel bore. Three trials were made on each sample rifle and the average used as the final value of the trigger pull force. The Remington specifications established for this product are a minimum trigger pull of 4.0 lb, and a maximum of 5.0 lb. Trigger pull forces were re-adjusted to this specification prior to the continuation of testing if found to be above or below the specified limits. Trigger pulls were taken both with the actions in the stocks and independent of the stocks. (See Section TLW0010F; B.2)

> Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 14 CONNERDERSTATIAL

ET06829

83 10

.

#### COMFIDENTIAL.

# Remington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER

315 WEET RING ROAD ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

For Phase I one of the fifteen samples averaged 3.982 lb., All other Phase I samples were between 4.0 lb. and 5.0 lb.. (See Section TLW0010F; B.1)

For Phase II rifles four rifles were over the 5.0 lb. limit and were re-adjusted to the specified limits. One rifle was found to be at 2.0 lb. (measured as assembled in the stock) which was under the S.A.M.M.I. recommended minimum and was re-adjusted up to above the 4.0 lb. Remington limit. (See Section TLW0010F; B.2)

# 3.1.2.4 TLW0010G - Safe On/Off Forces

The amount of force required to move the Safety from the "On-Safe" position to the "Fire" position and the force required to move the Safety from the "Fire" position to the "On-Safe" position. The first requirement is a S.A.A.M.I. specification (Ref. S.A.A.M.I. Technical Committee Manual, Vol. VII Centerfire Rifle, Sectori 7-130.01) and specifies that the firearms with a manual safety have a force of at least 1 lb. to move the safety from the "afet" position to the "fire" position. All sample rifles measured in both Phase 1 & II met this requirement. The second specification was taken for information only.

Phase I sample rifles averaged 4.084 lb. for "Safe On" to "Fine" position force and 3.1615 lb. for "Fire" to "Safe-On" position force.

Phase II sample rifles averaged 2.578 lb. for "Safe On" to "Pire" position force and 5.757 lb. for "Fire" to "Safe-On" position force. (Sea IT Woord G; B; & B.2)

TEWORION Bolt Lift and bolt closing Forces

The force that was required to open the bolt and the force required to close the bolt were determined for each designated sample. Bolt there is not a specification for these characteristics and the readings were taken for information only. See Table following. (See TLW0010H; B.1 & B.2)

出版

122

	PHASE ( (n = 10)		PHASEI	l (n = 9)
	OPEN FORCE	CLOSING FORCE	OPEN FORCE	CLOSING FORCE
EMPTY CHAMBER	6.250	3.013	3.320	2.730
ROUND CHAMBERED	6.529	3.482	Not Measured	Not Measured

3.1.2.6 TLW0010I - Magazine Spring Force

The force required to depress the magazine follower in the magazine box when pushing the follower down a distance of 1.0 inches (after an initial 0.2" depression) was measured during both phases. There is not currently an

Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 15 CONIFID/SURTIAL

8 7 K. M. R. 1 (1999)



#### Reminston Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD

ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701 established specification for this characteristic but design requested that the measurement be made to gather information for possible future use. An average of three trials was made on each sample. Two sets of measurements were made for each test phase, the first at the 0.2" position and the second at the 1.0" position. (See TLW0010H; B.1 & B.2)

PHASE	(n = 3)	PHASE II	(n = 10)
0.2" Position	1.0" Position	0.2" Position	1.0" Position
1.88 lb.	3.28 lb.	1.90 lb,	2.98 lb.



During Phase I a measurement of recoil force was made to compare the Model 710 with a Model 700 firing .30-06 antiquition. Statistical analysis of the data using ANOVA procedures indicates that there is a statistically significant difference (at the 95% confidence interval) for both the peak force measurement and the area under the force time curve. While the data indicates a statistical difference, from a practical point of view the differences are insignificant. The difference of approximately 8-9 lb. in peak values is unlikely to be discerned by most shooters as being a difference in recoil. Studies done in 1948 (see Remington Progress Report AB-48-31, prepared by F.G. DuPont) indicated that "...a minimum difference of 20 lbs. in maximum shoulder force (*i.e. peak force*) between guns is indicated as being required for reliable discrimination by the shooter." (Page 2 of ref. cited above.) In addition, the above reference states "Subjective recoil sensation is found to correlate well with maximum shoulder force." (Page 2.) (See TLW0010J; B.2)

12 65

Week Street

Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 16 GOM//2000/stN/7TLAUS

Real and a second

CONFIDENTIAL

#### Remington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

3.1.2.8 TLW0010K - Lock Time

Lock time was measured during Phase I only. The average of three trials on each sample was used for the measurement of lock times. Average lock time was 2.89 ms with a minimum of 2.74 ms and a maximum value of 3.09 ms. (See Section TLW0010K; B,1)

# 3.1.2.9 TLW0010AZ – Firing Pin Head to Sear Engagement

An important characteristic identified by Design as important to proper function of this model is the relationship of the firing pin head to the sear safety cam. Design has determined that the minimum acceptable engagement must be equal to or greater than 0.060". This characteristic was measured during Phase II only. The data measured on all thirty sample rifles indicated a mean value of 0.071" with a minimum value observed at 0.065" and a maximum value at 0.077". (See TLW0010AZ; B.2)

## 3.1.3 Weights of Major Components

. Bitty

# 3.1.3.1 TLW0010L - Overall Weight

Weights of the product and weights of various major sub-assemblies are considered to be important parts of the product description. Of the weights measured, Overall Weight of the product is the most important relative to customer perception and acceptance and in the case of overall weight are generally listed in the catalog. Customers generally want a hunting rifle to be as light as practical or carrying into the field.

Ten Phase II sample iffies were weighed as complete rifle systems (without the scope included and without the magazine box installed.) The magazine boxes would normally have been included in the weight of the complete assembly but were unavailable for weighing due to other testing requirements on the boxes at the time. Note that the weight of armagazine box is approximately 0.215 lb. The average weight of the rifle was measured at 6.894 lb. The 5% confidence interval was calculated at 6.886 lb. to 6.903 lb.. The average weight of a comparable Model 700 is approximately 7-3/8 lb. (e.g. the Model 700 ADL Synthetic, 22", Long Action.) (See Section TLW0010L; B.2)

## 3.1.3.2 TLW0010M – Weight of Stock Assembly

The weight of the stock averaged 2.346 lb.. The 95% confidence interval is 2.342 lb. to 2.349 lb.. The stock is approximately 34% of the complete assembly. (See Section TLW0010M; B.2)

#### 3.1.3.3 TLW0010N - Weight of Barrel Assembly

Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E: Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 17 (SOMPLODEMTIDEMTIVAL)

------

83 (5) CONFIDENTIAL

. . . . . . .

# Remington Arms Company Inc.

**RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER** 315 WEST RING ROAD ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

The weight of the barrel assembly averaged 3.854 lb.. The 95% confidence interval is 3.847. lb. to 3.861 lb..

The barrel assembly is approximately 56% of the complete assembly. (See Section TLW0010N; B.2)

#### 3.1.3.4 TLW00100 - Weight of Bolt assembly

The weight of the bolt assembly averaged 0.654. lb.. The 95% confidence interval is 0.654 lb. to 0.655 lb.. The bolt assembly is approximately 9.5% of the complete assembly. (See Section TLW00100; B.2)

> 3.1.4 Lengths of Major Components

> > 3.1.4.1 TLW0010P - Overall Length

As with weights, some basic lengths are considered to be important parts of the product description. lengths measured, overall length, barrel length and length of pull is generally listed in the catalog. (Ref. S.A.A.M.I Technical Committee Manual, Vol. VII Centerfire Rifle, Section 240.01and, Section 40.02). Overall Length averaged 41.769 inches. The 95% confidence interval is 41.747 to 41.790 inches (See Section TLW0010P; B.2) 5⁷⁷, ⁷⁴

#### TLW0010Q Batrel Length 3.1.4.2

In addition to being listed in the catalog there is a legal adquirement that must be met for barrel length. There is a minimum barrel length established by hw of 19. (Ref. S.A.A.M.I. Technical Committee Manual, Vol. VII Centerfire Rifle, Section 7-4001). The rifles in the test sample all measured 22". (See Section TLW0010Q; B.2)

1.1.7.2

# TLW0010R - Length of Pull

ength of Full is part of the product description and is listed in the catalog. Average Length of Full was 3.248 infines with the 95% confidence interval of 13.241 to 13.255 inches. (See Section TLW0010R; B.2) 

#### 3.1.5 **Gun Characteristics**

3.1.4.3

ister.

12:24

3.1.5.1 TLW0010S - Balance Point

The balance point (as measured from the muzzle) is determined for the primary purpose of setting up the required S.A.A.M.I. drop testing. (Ref. S.A.A.M.I. Technical Committee Manual, Vol. VII Centerfire Rifle, Section 7-95.02). For this Phase II sample the average location of the balance point was 21.9 inches from the muzzle. (See Section TLW0010S; B.2)

#### 3.1.5.2 TLW0010T - Drop at Heel and Comb

Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 18

CONFIDENTIAL

All Provide Labor

# ET06833

Confidential

# Remington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD

ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

Drop at Heel and Comb is listed in the catalog and is part of the product description. Drop at the Heel averaged 1.402 inches as measured from the bore. Drop at the Comb averaged 1.297 inches. (See Section TLW0010T; B.2)

# 3.1.5.3 TLW0010U - 40 lb. Trigger Pull Test

This test is specified by S.A.A.M.I. as a test of the safety operation. Per S.A.A.M.I. "The mechanical operation of the safety should not be impaired as a result of the application of a 40 lb. (18.1 kg) force to the trigger in any direction with the safety in the 'on' or 'safe' position." (Ref. S.A.A.M.I. Technical Committee Manual, Vol. VII Centerfire Rifle, Section 7-130.01). The test plan stated the 40-lb, force limit as 50 lb. in error and the tester performed the test using a 50-lb. force. In spite of this error the following before and after characteristics were determined.

	Trigger Pull	Trigger	Trigger Gap	Fire during	Fire after	
	(lb.)	Engagement (in.)	(in.)	Safe Release	Trigger Pull	
Before	4.92	0.0280			-	
After	4.91	F+ 0.0287	0,133	No	Yes	

There was not a significant difference for either Trigger Pull or Trigger Engagement between the before or after inplication of the 50 lb. Mad. There was however a significant difference between the before and after Trigger Gap as measured between the rear of the trigger and the trigger guard bow. This was most likely due to the bending af the trigger when the 50 lb. load was applied. The post-test of safety release followed by pulling the trigger did not result in any failures of the firecontrol to function properly.

1265

i in the second second

Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 19 COMMENDIAMTILAN

R. 1. 11: 12:00 - 2.42 PM

# ET06834



ET06835



stated that their review of the tooling indicated that the dimensions for the chamber were correct. This, along with the lack of performance problems during testing with the firearms that could be assigned to the chamber, would suggest that the measurements taken using the cast method are probably in error and that the measurements of the production tooling are a better overall measure of the chamber dimensions. *(See Section TLW0010V; B.2)* 

> Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 21 COMUSIDENTIANL

> > 811 1 82 - AND 1 41 FM



#### Remington Arms Company Inc. Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road

315 WEST RING ROAD ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

# 3.1.6.2 TLW0010W - Bore Diameter

Bore diameter was measured and found to average .3007" against a specification of .300"/. 301". (See Section TLW0010W; B.2)





Confidential

# Romington Arms Company Inc.

,

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 West Ring Road

ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701 With the exception of test rifle B5 all boxes were loaded and locked in the receiver with 4 rounds loaded in

the magazine box. On rifle B5 the bolt handle broke on closing the bolt and the rifle was eliminated from this test.



Confidential

# Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road Elizabethtown, Ky 42701

# 3.2 FUNCTION & ENDURANCE TESTING

3.2.1 Function & Endurance Testing

3.2.1.1 TLW0010AA - Basic Jack Function Test (to 200 Rounds)

	RIFLE	TOTAL RDS	TOTAL	AVERAGE MALF	
		SHOT	MALFUNCTIONS	RATE	83
	B-11	200	15	7.5%	1. 4. 51 A.
	B-12	200	3 4	1 524	
	B-13	200	6	3.0%	
	B-14	200		0.0%	
	B-15	15 200 A	1. <b>.</b>	0.0%	
	B-16	200		0.5%	1
	B-17 🖏	200	0	0.0%	
	B-18	200	1	0.5%	1
34	- H19	200	0	0.0%	
	B-20	200	1	0.5%	]
	TOTAL	2000	27	1.35%	}
					-

# MALFUNCTIONS BY RIFLE

# **MALFUNCTIONS BY AMMUNITION TYPE**

AMMUNITION TYPE	TOTAL RDS SHOT	TOTAL MALFUNCTIONS	AVERAGE MALF. RATE
REM R30065 180 GR.	400	1	0.3%
REM R30067 220 GR.	400	1	0.3%
UMC L30062 150 GR.	400	7	1.8%

A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF

Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Center fire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev}.doc Page 25 COMFIDENTIAL

Course in Course in VAU

-----

......

#### CONFIDENTIAL

## Romington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER

315 WEBT RING ROAD

REM PRT3006B 165 GR.	400	7	1.8%
REM R30063 150 GR.	400	11	2.8%
TOTAL	2000	27	1.35%

# MALFUNCTIONS BY MALFUNCTION TYPE

MALFUNCTION	ION TOTAL RDS TOTAL		AVERAGE MALF	ļ
	SHOT	MALFUNCTIONS	RATE	
STEM LOW	2000	24	1.2%	
BOLT OVERRIDE	2000	2	0.4%	
FAIL TO EJECT	2000	1	0.1%	83
TOTAL	2000	27	1.15%	1.4.1

To get an early picture of the product's functional capability a 200 round per rifle jack function test was conducted. Five bullet types were used, 40 rounds of each in each rifle to evaluate the potential for feeding problems. The test was conducted in the test jacks with the 'belly-protectors'' in place and fully closed for each shot. All malfunctions and any unpatial behavior were noted on the data forms. To be acceptable the overall average of all sample rifles should be at an below 2-% malfunctions rate. Up to one rifle from the sample of ten may be removed from the averaging process with that a excessive malfunction rate relative to the remaining group of nine samples. If the had occurred the rifle would have been investigated by engineering to determine the probable source of the problem and engineering would have provided written documentation for possible inclusion in the DAT report. Test priteria allowed for no major mechanical failures in the test sample. Major mechanical failures are defined as those fulleres that cannot easily be repaired with simple tools and/or readily available replacement parts. At the conclusion of this test the firearms were carefully examined for signs of excessive wear, with special attention paid to the plastic components.

1:4-5

len se st

------

The major problem experienced during this test was related to the magazine box. Two problems, possibly related, were noted. First, the boxes failed at the assembly welds (see picture below) and second, the boxes were continually deformed by being bowed out at the front of the box by rounds impacting the box. This required that the boxes be pounded back into shape to continue the function testing. There were also dents in the front of the magazine boxes from the bullet points. (See picture below.)

Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 26 COWNSMINSTRALL

------

CONFIDENTIAL

# Remington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD

ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

Testing was done on the boxes to determine weld strength. (See reports in the Appendices on weld strength testing.) Corrections were made to the production welding process to address this problem and welding strength retesting was performed to confirm improved status.

To address the problem of deformation a "dimple" was added on the front surface of the box to reinforce the box.





ET06843



COMFIDENTIAL

# Remington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

# FEEDING MALFUNCTIONS (F.T.E.) BY AMMUNITION TYPE

	TOTAL ROUNDS	TOTAL RIFLE	AVERAGE
RIFLE SHOT		MALFUNCTIONS	MALFUNCTION RATE
REM R30065 180 GR.	120	1	0.8%
REM R30067 220 GR.	120	0	0.0%
UMC 130062 150 GR.	120	0	0.0%
REM PRT3006B 165 GR.	120	0	0.0%
REM R30963 150 GR.	[20	0	0.0% 583
TOTAL	690	1	0.17%

# MALFUNCTIONS BY TYPE

	TOTAL ROUNDS	TOTALRIFLE	AVERAGE	
MALFUNCTION	SHOT	MAUPENCTIONS	,MALFUNCTION RATE	
STEM LOW	600	0	0.0%	
BOLT OVERIDE	600	0	0.0%	
F.L.M.	600	l	0.2%	
TOTAL	5. 600	. 0	0.17%	

A States

Vice 22

Ē

n. "

To get a quick picture of the product's functional capability from the perspective of the customer, a 100 OR 50 round per rifle shoulder function test was conducted to evaluate the potential for feeding problems. The malfunctions that occur when shooting from the shoulder may be different from those noted in the test jack due to shooter reactions to recoil that can potentially affect round position in the magazine box. The test was conducted in the long range while shooting from a standing position. Twenty (20) rounds (or 10 rounds in some rifles) of each of five (5) different bullet types were shot in each sample rifle.

As can be observed from the tables above, the majority of problems noted during the shoulder test were with the magazine box. The same problems experienced in the jack-shooting test were observed during this test.

> Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 30 COMMMDERNYTUAL

> > Station and a state

#### CONFIDENTIAL

## Romington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD

ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

Discounting the magazine box related problems only one malfunction was observed that was related to the rifle itself giving an overall malfunction rate of 0.17%

# 3.2.1.3 TLW0010AC - Extended Function & Endurance

The Extended Function/Endurance Test was shot to accomplish two purposes. The first purpose was to determine an estimate of the product's expected malfunction rate over an extended period of shooting.

The second purpose was to determine both the estimated life of individual components as well as the expected life of the entire product as a system. For purposes of definition, a <u>component failure</u> was defined as one that prevented (or potentially could prevent) the firearm from functioning as intended. These are failures that date be fixed, relatively easily by the simple replacement of a part such as could be done by the gun owner using only simple household tools.

System failures were defined as failures of a major nature, the extent of which would require specialized tooling or methods to repair not normally available to the average gun owner. Such a repair would be most likely made by a qualified gunsmith or by return to the factory Examples include broken bolt handles and broken firing pins.

The following table lists, by rifle, rounds shot, majfunctions experienced and occurrences of magazine box

problems.

С: "Ì

**出版**的

teres (

Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 31

COMPNESSITICAL

ne l'almene è ceres

83

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			315 WI EUZABETH	EST RING ROAD TOWN, KY 4270	1	·····	·····	
	TOTAL	FAIL	None and State			FAIL		BOX	
	ENDURANCE	TO	STEM	STEM	BOLT	то	STRAIGHTEN	BOTTOM	DOESN'I
RIFLE	ROUNDS	EJECT	LOW	нісн	OVERIDE	FEED	BOX	DETACHES	LATCH
B-11	10,000	4	83		1	1	3	]	3
B-12	5,000	14	1 73.52				4		
B-13	5,000	. 7	6		2		3	5	2
B-14	1,000	1					3		
B-15	2,000	6					3		
B-16	2,000	12	4	Ser.			13		
B-17	2,000	3	1	1			12		
B-18	1,000		4	1			11	ł	
B-19	1,000	20				3. 3.	11	1	
B-20	1,000	2	1				12		
TOTAL	30,000	69	100	1	C.	1	75	8	5
MALFI	INCTION %	0.23%	0.33%	0.003%	0.01%	£.003%	0.25%	0.03%	0.02%
					<b>3</b> 3557	ner verster o. C. Ali	ĨĹ		

Confidential - Subject to Protective Order Williams v. Remington

#### COMFIDENTIAL

# Romington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WE5T Ring Road Elizabethtown, KY 42701

# **BROKEN PARTS – ENDURANCE TEST**

B-14	Bolt Handle braze failed during inspection
B-12	Firing Pin broke at 1,496 rounds in thread area (replaced with pin from B-14 (1,320 rounds)
B-12	One ear on bolt Plug broken off. Noticed at 3,000 round inspection level.

#### General comments:

Ę.

(1) 71 71

54.

Rifles B-11, B-12 and B-13: Bolt Stop would not work 100% of the time at approximately the 3,000 round level. Shimmed Stock to fix.

Rifle B-13: Number of FTE's reported may be low. Chronic FTE malfunctions right at 4,400 rounds.

3.2.1.4 TLW0010AD - Clean Rifles and Jaspect

# 52.2.1.5 JILWOOIOAE - Dry Cycle to 5000 Cycles

One of the purposes withis test was to evaluate the reliability of the ISS system as installed on the Model 710. Five ISS units were tested using a Remington designed dry cycling machine. Each unit was cycled 5000 times. At the completion of the cyclisture unit was selected for testing with an additional 5000 cycles.

Peak torque force was measured for both the lock and unlock functions of each unit and compared at zero cycles and at 5000 cycles (and at 10,000 cycles for unit B-6). The peak torque force required to lock and unlock the units averaged approximately 30% less after the 5000 cycles were completed vs. the level at the start.

At the completion of the test the units were disassembled to facilitate visual examination. It was noted that while wear was evident on the parts "... the parts did not appear worn out."

The following two charts were taken from the report authored by B.Rages – "Model 710 ISS Dry Cycle" dated 10/24/00. This report can be found in its entirety in part B.2 (See Section TLW0010AE; B.2)

Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 33

CONFIDENTIAL

A. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

# ET06848

83



# ET06849



Confidential

# Romington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 West Ring Road

ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

POLVS. POA -**One-way Analysis of Variance -**CHANGE FROM ZERO ROUNDS TO 20 ROUNDS TO 40 ROUNDS. MODEL 710 - PHASE II TEST **PROJECT 241095** TLW0323 10 OCTOBER 2000 **Analysis of Variance - X VALUES** Source DF Ρ SS MS F 0.902 Factor 2 0.22 0.11 0.10 9 Error 9.51 1.06 1.1.1.1. Total 11 9.73 Individual 95% CIs For 83 gji F Based on Pooled StDev Level N Mean StDev ZERO RDS 4 0.582 0.737 20 ROUND 0.740 4 1.034 40 ROUND 4 0.913 1.247 Pooled StDev = 1.028 V.C.O.80 1.60 0.00 One-way Analysis of Variance - YVAL UES Analysis of Variance Source 5 DE3 SS F 2译的 0.02 0.023 0.981 Factor 0.011 Erroz 9 5.343 0.594 5.366 Individual 95% CIs For Mean et i leg Based on Pooled StDev StDev Lettel N Mean ZERO RDS 0.0025 0.5893 4 - 1 20 ROUND 4 0.0200 0.7710 40 ROUND 4 0.1025 0.9161 4(<u>82</u>75) -+--Pooled StDev = 0.7705 -0.50 0.00 0.50 The Analysis of Variance above indicates that there is not a statistically significant difference between the

zero and 20 round and 40 round levels for either the "X" or "Y" values for the differences between the Point of Impact vs. the Point of Aim for the four rifles. The average difference between the "X" values at the zero round level and the 40 round level is approximately 1/3 inch. The average difference for the comparable "Y" values is approximately 1/10 inch.

> Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN0}_Rev1.doc Page 36 COMFIDE(EMTIA),

-----

# CONFIDENTIAL

#### Remington Arms Company Inc. Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road ELizabethtown, KY 42701 3.3.1.2 TLW0010AG - Group Size at 100 Yards

One hundred-yard accuracy testing was completed utilizing standard factory ammunition. The test consisted of three, 5-shot groups. Rifles were cooled after every group. Each firearm was cleaned and fired with five fouling shots prior to beginning the accuracy work-up. Group sizes were measured from actual targets and recorded. The same code of ammunition and same type of ammunition was used for all group size test shots. The standard for Average group sizes was set at  $\leq 2.7^{\circ}$  at 100 yards.

	BUSHNEL	L SCOPE	TASCO SCOPE		
Rounds	B-4	B-7	B-5	B-9	
0	1.417	1.379	1.527	1.545	
20	1.368	1.370	1.259	1.444	
40	1.567	1.659	1.630	2 258 by 7 51	

All group sizes were under the 2.7" minimum. The overall average for all rifles over the 40 found test was calculated to be 1.4157 inches. There was not a statistically significant difference in terms of group size between the rifles using the Bushnell scope and the rifles using the Taxco scope.

The technician stated that the scope was a factor in esting. In the opinion of the technician groups would have been tighter with a higher public scopes with thinger cross-hans.

4.1 Semperature & Humidity Testing

ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

۰.

# 3.4.1.1 TLW0010AH - Hot Function Test

The purpose of this test was an evaluation of the effects of extreme high temperature on the functional performance of the product such as would be experienced if the firearm were to be stored in a vehicle such as a truck on a hot summer day with the windows closed. Under such conditions, temperatures could be expected to approach or exceed 120°F. The rifle used in this test was pre-heated to 120°F for 14 hours then shot with 20 rounds at which time the rifle was returned to the chamber for two hours to return the firearm to the test temperature. This cycle was repeated 4 more cycles of twenty rounds each until a total of 100 rounds were shot through the rifle. No malfunctions were experienced.

Jan. 2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:VTest Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 37 CONNETUREINTIMAL,

No. 2 November 2 August

CONFIDENTIAL.

#### Romington Arms Company Inc. Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road ElizabethTown, KY 42701 3.4.1.2 TLW0010AI - Cold Function Test

This test evaluates the effect of extreme low temperature on the function of the product. This test simulates storage in a vehicle during cold weather or carrying the firearm into the field during winter weather. The test rifle was pre-conditioned at -20°F for at least six hours. Every two hours thereafter twenty rounds were fired in the rifle. Between cycles the rifle was re-cooled for two hours.

The first round was a misfire. On the  $23^{rd}$  &  $89^{th}$  round the bolt would not close. The precise reason for these malfunctions was indeterminate.

# 3.4.1.3 TLW0010AJ - Thermal Cycle Test

This test evaluates the effects of large temperature changes due to expansion and contraction differentials of metallic and non-metallic components used in the Model 710. The sample rifle was alternately cycled between a temperature of 120°F and -20°F for three cycles. Time at each temperature was at least 24 hours. At the completion of the three complete cycles the rifle was allowed to return to ambient temperature for addeast six hours. At that tune 100 rounds of ammunition were fired in the rifle after which the rifle was examined for any obvious signs that thermal cycling had affected the component parts such as cracking or material categor. Rifle A-11 was used for this test and no problems were noted after the completion of the 100 round test. This test was completed during Phase I and was not repeated during Phase II. (See Section TLW00F0AJ; 8.1)

TLW0010AK – Heat & Humidity Test

This test evaluates the potential effects of larger heat and humidity on the function of the product such as might be found in altropical environment. The subject ritle was placed in a large environmental test chamber for a minimum of six hours. The temperature in the chamber was set at 100°F with a relative humidity of 80-90%. After the six-hour storage time the tifle was shot 20 rounds at two hour intervals until 100 rounds total were expended in the rifle.

174:	2			
TIME	ROUNDS FIRED	CHAMBER TEMP.	HUMIDITY	COMMENTS
8:00	20	99°F	97 %	Bolt very stiff to operate
10:00	21)	101°F	95 %	Bolt very stiff to operate
12:00	29	99°F	94 %	Bolt very stiff to operate
2:00	20	101°F	100 %	Bolt very stiff to operate
4:00	20	102°F	98 %	Bolt very stiff to operate
	8:00 10:00 12:00 2:00 4:00	ROUNDS FIRED           8:00         20           10:00         20           12:00         20           2:00         20           4:00         20	ROUNDS FIRED         CHAMBER TEMP.           8:00         20         99°F           10:00         20         101°F           12:00         20         99°F           2:00         20         101°F           4:00         20         102°F	ROUNDS FIRED         CHAMBER TEMP.         HUMIDITY           8:00         20         99°F         97 %           10:00         20         101°F         95 %           12:00         20         99°F         94 %           2:00         20         101°F         100 %           4:00         20         102°F         98 %

No other problems were noted. (See Section TLW0010AK; B.1)

SER.

Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E: ITest Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 38 COMM/MDMSINT/MAU.

111 1 MINIME (1844)

Confidential

#### ROMINGTON AFTERS COMPANY INC. RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER

315 WEST RING ROAD ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

# 3.4.2 Debris Testing

1

3

Dynamic Sand & Dust

Static Sand & Dust

Field Debris

As part of the evaluation of the design three types of abusive tests were included in the DAT, all involving the introduction of foreign material by various means to determine the potential effects of dirt, dust and debris on the function and reliability of the product. The following is a summary report of the testing performed during DAT Phase II related to the results of various debris tests that were performed on the Model 710. For sake of completeness the report is included below exactly as written at the time:

> <u>M/710 DAT Phase II</u> Debris Test Summary (10/4/00 - Franz) (Updated: 10/12/00 - Danner) (Updated: 10/30/00 - Franz)

## Introduction:

18 A

As part of the original M/710 Design Acceptance Test Plan a series of Abtisive Tests were scheduled to be run. This document only summarizes those tests performed during Phase II board dealing with Debris. More specifically this document will outline the chrodiology of events dealing with these tests, what tests were run and when followed by a brief description of test results. You must refer to the specific test in question for more detailed information. As originally planned a single test gun (B-22, Serial, No. **71001278**) was identified that would be used for the three different Debris Tests. These tests are listed below.

Test Lab Work Request No.

TLW0010AL TLW0010AM TLW0010AN

The specific procedures for each of these three tests are documented in the M/710 Design Acceptance Test (DAT #1) Test Plan, Model 710, New Centerfire Rifle, and Revision #2 dated 3/31/00. Gun B-22 was one of ten guns received on Sept. 9th. This gun had Preliminary Measurements taken on the 9th followed by magnaflux of the bolt head on the 11th.

> Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 39 COMPLEMENTIALL

> > an an an an an in the first

#### CONFIDENTIAL

#### Reminston Arms Company Inc. RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD

ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

# Chronology of Events:

- A Dynamic Sand & Dust Test was run on 9/16/00. Nothing unusual reported by the technicians.
- A Field Debris Test was run on 9/16/00. During this test the first two rounds were fired without incident. On the 3rd round the technicians reported that the gun fired while pushing the Safety from the "On" to the "Off" position. The test was stopped at this time. The gun was disassembled and a small particle was observed between the engagement screw and the trigger.
- It was noted that the procedures for both the Dynamic Sand & Dust and Field Debris Tests were not followed exactly as documented in the Test Plan. The three main procedural differences noted were

- Say

- The Safety was cycled from "On" to "Off" after every shot was fired. The Test 1.
- Plan specifically calls out cycling the Safety every 5 shots.
- Plan specifically calls out cycling the Safety every 5 shots. The 10-lb. test procedure was not run in either case as spelled put in the plan. 2.
- 3. Only 5 rounds were fired in either test, however the test-Plan calls for 20.
- The Field Debris Test was rerun on 9/27/00 per procedure defined in the test plants. The same two technicians were asked to run the test. An attempt wassmade to fire 20 rounds of ammunition. Seventeen of the 20 rounds were actually fired during the test A total of four malfunctions occurred. The first malfunction was a Fail-to-Fire that was either a Follow-Downtor an obstructed firing pin/firing pin head/Sear. The second through with malfunctions were feeding related (1 Fail-to-Feed from Magazine and 2 Stem-Lows). At no time during this test did an inadvertent discharge occur. The gun was again torn down, cleaned, hub reated with trigger pull and engagement reset.
- The Static Sand & Dist was run on 9/29/00, After application of the sand & dust debris the firearm would not fire. Five attempts were made to pull the trigger. At no time did the gun fire. In addition the fining prindid not fall. Thew round was fed before the trigger was pulled for each of the five attempts. On the first attention the trigger did not move. The bolt lift was easy when opening the bolt to cycle the second round, turther evidence that the firing pin did not fall. On the second attempt the trigger moved slightly. On each of the three remaining attempts the bolt lift was easy when opened after the trigger was putted. Trigger movement increased on each successive attempt but not enough to fire the gun. The test was stopped at this time since the gun would not function.
- A new engagement screw was designed by the design team and fabricated for further testing. This

screw instead of having a spherical tip had a 60-degree cone shaped tip (see Dwg. B-300448, Alt. D). The full series of Debris tests were rerun to establish performance with this new engagement screw design. All

three tests were rerun on 10/3/00. This time two different technicians were assigned to run the tests.

- The same gun, B-22, was torn down, cleaned, lubricated and fitted with the new engagement screw. Trigger pull and engagement were reset.
- During the Field Debris retest with the 60-degree cone shaped engagement screw 2 occurrences of a Fail-to-Fire were encountered. This happened on the 2nd and 8th rounds. During the first Fail-to-Fire trigger movement was detected when the trigger was pulled. No evidence of the firing pin falling was

Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JANOI_Rev1.doc Page 40 COMPLEMENTIAN.

and a some set of set and

ET06855

83

and the second

CONFIDENTIAL

# Remington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

observed. When the bolt was opened it had a heavy bolt lift, indicating the firing pin was being cocked by the rotation, therefore it was in the fully forward position. On the second Fail-to-Fire no perceivable movement of the trigger was felt when pulled. Again, no movement of the firing pin was detected on this attempt. Bolt lift was again heavy during opening. 18 of the 20 rounds were fired successfully and all steps as outlined in the test procedure were followed. At no time did an inadvertent discharge occur during this test.

- The same gun, B-22, was torn down, cleaned and lubricated. Trigger pull and engagement were reset.
- The Static Sand & Dust Test with the 60 degree cone shaped engagement screw was run next. After application of the sand & dust debris the firearm would not fire. Five attempts were made to pull the trigger. At no time did the gun fire. In addition no evidence of the firing pin falling was detected. This time trigger movement was detected on all five attempts. The bolt opened easily each time the bolt was rotated up, further evidence that the firing pin was in the cocked position. As in the first Static Sand as Dust Test further testing was stopped since the gun would not function. Align time did an inadvertent <u>i</u>ľ discharge occur during this test.
- The same gun, B-22, was torn down, cleaned ane tubricated Triggar gull and engagement were reset.

161.5

The Dynamic Sand & Dust Test with the 60 degree cone shaped engagement screw was run last. A total of five malfunctions occurred buring this test. The first was a Fail-to-Feed up from the magazine on the second round. The magazine box was removed and the rounds were removed and then reloaded into the box, The round fed of and fired normally. The next malfunction was a Fail-to-Fire when the trigger was pulled. This occurred on the 3rd round. No evidence of the firing pin failing was detected; Boit lift was heavy on opening, evidence that the firing pin was in the fully forward or fired position. The 4th and 5th rounds fired normally. The three remaining malfunctions were Stem-Lows that occupied on the 7th, 12th, and 17th rounds, or the 2nd round out of the box in all three cases. In each rease the stem was corrected and the round fed and fired. In all a total of 19 of the 20 rounds were fired. At no time did an inadvertent discharge occur during this test.

illes:

123

Two guns were modified on 10/10/00 to allow for detailed examination of the connector/sear interface. This was accomplished by drilling a "sight hole" through the stock in a location permitting examination of the engagement adjustment hole in the fire control. In addition, the rear plastic portion of the bolt plug was removed to expose the rear of the firing pin head. This interface was modified slightly to allow a custom tool to be threaded into the firing pin head so it could be manipulated manually/separately from the gun and bolt cam.

> Jan. 2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 41 Compositional

> > a second carries



# ET06857

#### Confidential

# Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road

ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

 The bolt was pushed forward in an attempt to chamber the second round. The second round Failed-to-Feed correctly from the magazine box (Stem-Low). The magazine was removed from the firearm along with the second round.

 All rounds were removed from the magazine and then it was disassembled. The components of the magazine were blown clear of debris and then the box was reassembled. All four rounds were reinserted into the magazine.

 The magazine was reinstalled into the firearm and the bolt pushed forward and down to chamber a round. The round was chambered successfully.

The trigger was pulled – Round did not fire. No motion of the firing pin was detected.

The firearm and shooting jack assembly was carefully moved backward several inches to expose the "sight hole" added to the stock.

The sight hole was illuminated via the fiber optic light source obtained from the microscope lab

It was clearly evident that the connector was forward and the star was down.

• It should be further noted that no light could be seen between the same and connector and that the connector appeared to be resting on the sear

 The custom firing pin tool was used to pull back define firing pin head. The sear/connector interface was watched as the Bead was placed back.

After significant investigant rearward of the pin the sear began to move up but stopped notably short of allowing the comparison to return under the sear. Pulling the head all the way back still did not allow the connector to return under the sear.

An attempt was made to engage the safety to the safe position while holding back on the firing pin head. Resistance was encountered in attempting to do this so the firing pin was carefully lowered back down to its farthest forward position.

Another attempt to engage the safety to the safe position while holding back on the firing pin head was
made. The connector / sear interface was watched through the sight hole during this process.

 The safety was successfully moved from the fire to safe state although it was significantly more difficult than expected.

It was observed that the sear was driven forcibly upward by the safety arm.

Jan 2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R&D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:VTest Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 43 G@WIPTUDESWTTUAL

81-3 M. 4. 41 13384

ET06858



Page 44 CONSTRUCTION

COMPIDENTIAL

# Remington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD

ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

Solvent testing is performed to insure that commonly used firearms cleaning products, lubricants and other chemicals that might reasonably be expected to come into contact with the product during manufacture or use will not cause damage to the products surface finish or dimensional stability. Tests will be conducted in accordance with ASTM D543-87, which calls for 24-hour immersion in solvents followed by a property evaluation. Hardness or stiffness is the property measured for this test, either quantitatively or qualitatively (where quantitative measurements were impractical). Solvent effects in polymers range from no effect to complete decomposition. Parts that absorb solvents may permanently discolor, crack, craze, or otherwise display failures. The parts also may simply take up solvent when immersed and yield the solvent back when exposed to air with no other property change other than temporary modulus (stiffness) reduction. To support this observation, it is often helpful to separate parts by their amount of solvent uptake, so that the large solvent uptake parts can be more carefully examined.

For the Model 710 Design Acceptance Test a list of synthetic materials used in the product Was reviewed. 83 With one exception the synthetic materials used in this design testing were previously completed on the inaterials when used in other product lines and therefore not repeated for this test. Only the treceiver lineer insterial with not previously tested it was however similar to the material used in the Bolt Plug and therefore was not tested.

	Component	Material Specification	Comments
	Magazine Latch	Uffern 1000	Same material as M/597 Magazine Box – Birchwood Casey Gun Scrubber will destroy part.
	.Bolt¥lug	Nylon 6, 6 33% Glass-filled	Note: material changed from original specification of Polypropylene, 15% Glass-filled, Chemically Coupled.
	Magazine Box Bottom	Polypropylene, 15% Glass Filled, Chemically Coupled	Same material as M/597 Stock, steel nose insert molded into bolt plug, brass spring retainer ultrasonically welded.
-	Follower	Polypropylene, 15% Glass Filled, Chemically Coupled	Same material as M/597 Stock, steel nose insert molded into bolt plug, brass spring retainer ultrasonically welded.

11:5

12 - 8 HZ 44-48 248814

	Compidential	
	Remington Apres Compan Research & Development Technical Cen 315 West Ring Road Elizabethrown, KY 42701	I <b>Y INC.</b> ITER
Stock	Polypropylene, 15% Glass Filled, Chemically Coupled	Same material as M/597 Stock, steel nose insert molded into bolt plug, brass spring retainer ultrasonically welded.
Receiver Insert	Nylon 6, 6 30% Glass Filled 2% Si, 1% PTFE (Internal Lubricant)	Brass threaded insert ultrasonically welded into receiver insert.
3.5 ABUSIV 3.5.1 This test simular used for each rifle: Barrel vertical, Barrel vertical, Barrel horizont Barrel horizont Barr	LE TESTING Impact Testing 3.5.1.1 TLW0010AQ - SAAMI Drop Test thes abusive dropping of a firearm from a vertice of the muzzle down, muzzle up, al, bottom down al, ist side sp, al, the side sp, al, remestide up. Isoloaded into the chamber for the drop series. At the states to insure that the firearm still functions normal with a scope attached to the rifle while the other half of Jan 2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Cer R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039, file: E: VTest Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT	enterfire Rifle; TLW 0100 DRT_JANO1_Rev1.doc

.

.

CONFIDENTIAL

# Remington Arms Company Inc.

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL CENTER 315 WEST RING ROAD ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701

	B-24	B-25	B-26	B-27	B-28	B-29	B-30
	OPEN SIGHTS	OPEN SIGHTS	OPEN SIGHTS	SCOPE	SCOPE	SCOPE	SCOPE
Barrel Vertical, Muzzle Up	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Barrel Vertical, Muzzle Down	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Barrel Horizontal, Left side up	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Barrel Horizontal, Right side up	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Barrel Horizontal, Bottom up	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	S HASS	PASS	PASS ,
Barrel Horizontal, Top up	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS

## S.A.A.M.I. DROP TEST - PHASE II

ं TLWQQIOAR - SAAML Jor-Off Fest 3.5.1.2

The objective of this test is to simulate abusive impacting (or bumping) of the firearm against a hard surface from a vertical height of 12 miches. The same orientations used for the drop test above are used for this test.

		3.01.1. JAN	OFF IESI	- I IIASE I	A		
	B-24	B-25	B-26	B-27	B-28	B-29	B-30
	OPEN SIGHTS	OPEN SIGHTS	OPEN SIGHTS	SCOPE	SCOPE	SCOPE	SCOPE
Barrel Vertical, Muzzle Up	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Barrel Vertical, Muzzle Down	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Barrel Horizontal, Left side up	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Barrel Horizoatal, Right side up	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Barrel Horizontal, Bottom up	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Barrel Horizontal, Top up	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
	Barrel Vertical, Muzzle Up Barrel Vertical, Muzzle Up Barrel Vertical, Muzzle Down Barrel Horizontal, Left side up Barrel Horizontal, Right side up Barrel Horizontal, Rottom up Barrel Horizontal, Top up	Barrel Horizontal, Bottom up Barrel Horizontal, Top up Barrel Horizontal, Top up Barrel Horizontal, Top up	B-24B-25OPENOPENSIGHTSSIGHTSBarrel Vertical, Muzzle UpPASSPASSPASSBarrel Vertical, Muzzle DownPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Left side upPASSBarrel Horizontal, Right side upPASSBarrel Horizontal, Rottom upPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Rottom upPASSPASSPASS	B-24B-25B-26OPENOPENOPENSIGHTSSIGHTSSIGHTSBarrel Vertical, Muzzle UpPASSPASSParrel Vertical, Muzzle DownPASSPASSParrel Vertical, Muzzle DownPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Left side upPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Right side upPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Rottom upPASSPASSParrel Horizontal, Top upPASSPASSParrel Horizontal, Top upPASSPASSPassPASSPASS	B-24B-25B-26B-27OPEN SIGHTSOPEN SIGHTSOPEN SIGHTSOPEN SIGHTSSCOPEBarrel Vertical, Muzzle UpPASSPASSPASSBarrel Vertical, Muzzle Down Barrel Horizontal, Left side upPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Left side upPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Right side upPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Rottom upPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Top upPASSPASSPASSPASS	B-24B-25B-26B-27B-28OPENOPENOPENOPENSCOPESCOPESIGHTSSIGHTSSIGHTSSIGHTSSIGHTSSIGHTSBarrel Vertical, Muzzle UpPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Vertical, Muzzle DownPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Left side upPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Right side upPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Rottom upPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Top upPASSPASSPASSPASSPASS	B-24B-25B-26B-27B-28B-29OPENOPENOPENOPENSCOPESCOPESCOPESIGHTSSIGHTSSIGHTSSIGHTSSIGHTSSICOPEBarrel Vertical, Muzzle UpPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Vertical, Muzzle DownPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Left side upPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Right side upPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Rottom upPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSBarrel Horizontal, Top upPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSParrel Horizontal, Top upPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSParrel Horizontal, Top upPASSPASSPASSPASSPASSPASS

Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 47 COMMETIDEMINIAL

# CONTENDENTIAN,

#### Remington Arms Company Inc. Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road Elizabethtown, KY 42701

3.5.1.3 TLW0010AS - SAAMI Rotation Test

This test simulates the effect of a rifle leaning vertically against a wall, tree or other surface and unintentionally falling on one side or the other. There are two orientations used for this test. The rifle is allowed to fall from a vertical position first on one side of the stock then on the other side.

	B-24	B-25	B-26	<b>B-27</b>	B-28	B-29	B-30
	OPEN SIGHTS	OPEN SIGHTS	OPEN SIGHTS	SCOPE	SCOPE	SCOPE	SCOPE
Barrel Vertical; Drop with Left Side Up.	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS
Barrel Vertical; Drop with Right Side Up.	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS

# 3.5.1.4 TLW001077 - Extended SAAMI, Jar-Off Test (for Information only)

1

This test is similar to the standard SAAMI ar-Off test both is strictly an internal Remington test and is conducted for information coldy. The individual rifles are designated at "passing" or "failing" each individual drop and the status recorded. The test guess are dropped in the individual for the status recorded. The test guess are dropped in the individual of 6", 18"; 24" and 48". The purpose of this test is to gauge the "statistivity" of the product.

			6n.	18"	24"	48"	Comments
ň. ľ	tileg, i	B-242	PASS	PASS	PASS	FAIL	1 Orientation – Barrel Horizontal; Bottom Down
San	SAL SAL	B-25	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	
: 23	(13) (13)	B-26	PASS	PASS	FAIL	PASS	1 Orientation - Barrel Horizontal; Bottom Up
		B-27	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	
, :		B-28	PASS	PASS	PASS	FAIL	1 Orientation - Barrel Horizontal; Bottom Down
		<b>B</b> -29	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	
		B-30	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	

Jan.2001 - Design Acceptance Test - Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:VTest Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 48 COMMENDERNITIAL

shi ci anaveri chama

# GOINFIDENTIAL

#### Remington Arms Company Inc. Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road ELIZABETHTOWN, KY 42701 3.5.1.5 TLW0010AU – Extended SAAMI Rotation Test (for Information only)

This test is similar to the standard SAAMI Rotation test but is strictly an internal Remington test and is conducted for information only; there is no Pass or Fail for the results of the test. The individual rifles are designated at "passing" or "failing" each individual drop and the status recorded. The test guns are dropped first on the left side then on the right side but without the use of the rubber mat used in the other tests. This test was acceptable with no failures noted.

# 3.5.1.6 TLW0010AV - Extended SAAMI Drop Test: (for Information only)

This test is similar to the standard SAAMI Drop test but is strictly an internal Remington test and is conducted for information only. The individual rifles are designated at "passing" or "failing" each individual drop and the status recorded. The test guns are dropped from heights of 4ft., 6 ft. and 8 ft. The purpose of this feet is to gauge the relative "sensitivity" of the product to severe abuse. Although this test was partially completed, up through  $p^{3.3}$  height of 6 ft. Testing was stopped at 6-ft. due to repeated part breakage of scopes built handles and receiver theory. At no time during this test did any of the rifles fire.

Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 49 CONNECTION

Sel Sites

1.22

# COMFIDENTIAL

#### Remington Arms Company Inc. Research & Development Technical Center 315 West Ring Road Elizabethtown, KY 42701

#### 3.5.2 Intentional abuse

The c

11:1-1

÷.

# 3.5.2.1 TLW0010AW - Pierced Primer Test

For this test, a firing pin was altered to make a "wedge-shaped" point. This type of firing pin point usually produces a pierced primer when fired. The purpose of piercing the primer is to allow high-pressure gases to escape into the action and thereby determine the effect of high-pressure gases when dumped into the bolt, magazine box and receiver areas. A standard round of .30-06 ammunition was used for this test. To determine if escaping gas pressure ejects particles that might hit a shooter witness paper is placed just behind the rifle. There were no indications of particles being blown back toward the shooter when this test was conducted.

# **Pierced Primer Test**

# 3.5.2.2 TLW0010AX - High Pressure Test

This test evaluated the effects of extremely high pressure on the strength of the rifle system. A purpose of this test is to determine the extent of damage that might occur if an individual purposely or accidentally produces a handload that generates a load approximately twice normal factory load pressure. The approximate pressure generated in this test is in the range of 120,000 psi. Although the bolt handle broke off the bolt, the bolt lugs held as did the locking lug area of the receiver. It is believed that the bolt handle was broken during the test when the lanyards used

Jan.2001 – Design Acceptance Test – Remington M/710 Centerfire Rifle; R & D Technical Center Project No. 241039; TLW 0100 file: E:\Test Reports \ Firearms Tests \ M710_DAT_REPORT_JAN01_Rev1.doc Page 50 CONTRIDUCTION FLAL

with a second second

# ET06865

83 S



# ET06866



ET06867