

Lastly, I felt that the part of the segment where the reporter was questioning an N.R.A. attorney in utter disbelief that the N.R.A. opposes legislation offered by Sen. Metzgerbaum the most enlightening. Without specifically asking he implied that the N.R.A.'s opposition was unreasonable. How could anyone oppose legislation that is only meant to insure the safety of the general public? It is quite obvious to me that the intent of this legislation is not to insure safety but to give the federal government another way to prevent law abiding citizens from exercising their Second Amendment rights. The fact that the media endorses this legislation only gives further credibility to the idea that this is just another angle on gun control.

I think that pretty well sums it up

Robert Griffith
N.R.A. member
and Veteran