	•	Boys	Men_	Tot al
6.	Connengator			
	Want Don't want Average value	5.02% 94.98% \$10.07	14.41% 85.59% \$15.35	13.59% 86.41% \$15.05

Questions concerning the preference for the above "extras" and the value thereof, if wanted, were contained in the questionnaire. Responding sportsmen also wrote in others, as follows:

•	Want ed	<u>by</u>	Average Value	
Carrying case Automatic ejectors Extra barrel Ivery center sight Bead front sight Engraving Cil finish (stock) Stainless steel	34 13 10 7 5 4 4	•	\$9.27 16.70 29.83 1.65 1.50 69.33 4.25 15.00	

18. Again the jack-pot question - VALUE

The value, or "what I think is a fair retail price" reported by the respondents, and broken down by style and gauge of shotgun, is shown below:

All Respondents

	•		Median Values(*)						
		Single	Double	Cver/Under	Purn	Auto.			
16 20	Gauge Gauge Gauge	\$20.11 20.22 24.88 Insufficien	\$47.48 47.12 44.70 t 33.00	\$76.02 55.29 59.81 44.77	\$50.19 47.99 48.44 37.15	\$54.92 55.10 49.90 45.53			
410	Gauge	đata 9.04	30.16	35.60	31.90	34.87			

The reader may wonder why shotgun values are reported in medians while rifle values are shown in averages. This is because a number of respondents, mostly "gun nuts", gave estimates ranging upward from \$200-some reaching \$500 to \$700. These respondents probably had custom built guns in rind. The inclusion of these data disproportionately distorts the averages but has only a minor effect on modians. In the rifle data, the number of unusually high estimates was relatively few (spoarently there are fewer custom built rifles, or perhaps they don't cost as much), so that averages and medians were approximately alike.