

G.D. Campbell

Replacement Rear Sight Assembly

Economic evaluation of the proposed replacement rear sight assembly indicates it will result in a substantial cost increase. Two alternative, processes were evaluated:

Proposal I - Utilizing available standard equipment.

Proposal II - Utilizing new special machines to combine operations;

And the estimated unit and total cost effects of each proposal are tabulated below:

		Proposal I Net		Proposal II Net	
White Manhama Canha	Current	Total	Change	Total	Change
Unit Factory Costs Incremental Full Allocation	\$ 2.98 \$ 4.73	\$ 5.38 · \$ 7.93	\$ 2.40 \$ 3.20	\$ 4.78 \$ 6.81	\$ 1.80 \$ 2.08
Total Factory Costs (M\$'s) Incremental Full Allocation	\$ 655 \$1,040	\$1,185 \$1,745	\$ 530 \$ 705	\$1,050 \$1,500	\$ 395 \$ 460
Investment (M\$'s)		\$ 10	00	\$ 33	10

These costs are expressed in surrent dollars and are based on a 1983 forecast volume of 220M units.

The significant factors contributing to the increased cost for the proposed design included high purchased part costs and increased assembly cost. The most expensive purchased part was the Elevation Screw which had an estimated cost of \$1.55 each. Also, the Windage Screw cost approximately 10¢ each and the two springs added 18¢ in material cost. The total material cost for the proposed design was \$2.58 per assembly compared to \$.65 for the current rear sight assembly.

(cont'd.)

Replacement Rear Sight Assembly - (cont'd.)

Assembly costs for the proposed rear sight were higher because of increased complexity (11 components vs. 7 components in the current design) and the intrinsic difficulties in handling small components such as the detent ball. Also, assembly of the windage screw requires approximately 18 full turns.

Comparing Proposals I and II indicated the \$210M additional investment required for Proposal II would generate a gross incremental savings of \$135M compared to Proposal I. As this results in a 3% net return on the additional investment, the new special machines in Proposal II would be justified on a comparative cost reduction basis.

Industrial Engineering Section R.W. Farrington, Jr., Supervisor

RW. Farrington for.

TRAndrews/kc Jr-