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ABSTRACT:

Research finds the design change of the turkey choke tube, from a parabolic taper to a straight taper, to be acceptable. The evaluation consisted of, patterns shot at 40 yards, using one Model 11-87, two barrels, two with straight taper and two with parabolic taper choke tubes.
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DESIGN ACCEPTANCE FOR STRAIGHT-TAPERED TURKEY CHORE TUBES

TO: J.R. Snedeker

FROM: D.R. Thomas

## INTRODUCTION:

On November 7,1988 a request was received from $T$. Powers to conduct a Design Acceptance test on the proposed change in 12 gauge turkey choke tubes. The parabolic taper was changed to a straight taper to facilitate inspection of the tube. The test was done to assure that no pattern performance would be lost due to the change.
The test would use one Model 11-87 12 gauge shotgun, two barrels, two straight taper choke tubes, and two parabolic taper choke tubes. The testing was to compare pattern densities and central thickening of the patterns shot with the two designs.

SCOPE OF TEST:

To verify that the proposed straight taper design would perform as well as the parabolic taper in pattern density and central thickening.

TEST RESULTS:

The straight taper tube is comparable to the parabolic taper tube in density and central thickening.

## REPORT TEXT:

## EQUIPMENT REQUIRED:

GUN: Model 11-87 serial number p170340V
2 choke tubes with a parabolic taper
2 choke tubes with a straight taper
AMMUNITION: Remington SP12NM-6 (3in., $15 / 80 z ., 4 \mathrm{dr}$. eq. Nitro Magnum)
Lot number 6201504
SHOOTERS: D.Thomas and J. Selan

TEST PROCEDURE:
Five patterns were shot per shooter, per barrel, per choke tube, for a total of eighty patterns. Five cartridges were cut down to determine a pellet count.

Patterns were shot by D.R. Thomas and J.E. Selan in the Research and Development pattern range lacated in building 52-1A.

Remington ammunition code SPl2NM-6 lot\# 6201504 was used for all patterns.

The patterns were analyzed for pattern density and central thickening using the HP9000 and digitizing tablet.

An Analysis of Variance evaluation of the choke tube patterns for the Straight Taper tube vs. the parabolic taper tube shows that there is not a significant difference between the two types for pattern percentage at the 95\% level of confidence.

| ANALYSIS | OF VARIANCE (pattern percentages) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SOURCE | DF | SS | MS | F |  |
| EACTOR | 1 | 2.81 | 2.81 | 0.31 | 0.581 |
| ERROR | 78 | 713.30 | 9.14 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 79 | 716.11 |  |  |  |



The critical $F$ value $\{F(.05)\{1,78\}]$ for this set of data is $\sim 3.96$ Therefore, since the observed $F$ value is $0.31<3.96$ we must accept the null hypothesis (i.e.there is no significant difference between the two groups tive to pattern \%).

The analysis further shows that there is not a significant difference between the two types of choketubes relative to Central Thickening (at the 95\% level of confidence).

| VARIANCE (central thickening) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOURCE | DF | SS | MS | $\begin{array}{r} F \\ 0.96 \end{array}$ | $0.331$ |  |  |
| FACTOR | 1 | 0.263 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.263 \\ & 0.275 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ERROR | 78 | 21.476 |  |  | $0.331$ |  |  |
| total | 79 | 21.739 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | INDIVIDUAL 95 FCT CI'S FOR MEAN |  |  |  |
| LEVEL | N | MEAN | STDEV | --+----- | + | - |  |
| STR-TAPR | 40 | 2.9537 | 0.4694 |  | ---- | ---- |  |
| PAR-TAPR | 40 | 2.8390 | 0.5747 | (----- | -*- | --1 |  |
| POOLED S | $\mathrm{V}=$ | 0.5247 |  | 2.70 | 2.85 | 3.00 | 3. |

TURREY CHOKE TUBE PATTERNS
using Nitro Mag 6 's (SP12NM-6) 369 pellet avg.

|  | pat.\# $1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { shooter } \\ & \text { JS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \text { in. } \\ & \text { pat. } \% \\ & 84.8 \end{aligned}$ | barrel \#1 cen. thk. 2.82 | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \text { in. } \\ & \text { pat. } \\ & 82.4 \end{aligned}$ | barrel \#4 cen. thk. 3.54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | JS | 84.8 | 2.82 | 84.3 | 2.62 |
|  | 3 | JS | 87.8 | 3.00 | 76.6 | 2.25 |
| Straight | 4 | JS | 80.5 | 2.71 | 82.9 | 3.03 |
| Taper \#1 | 5 | JS | 82.9 | 4.56 | 82.4 | 3.00 |
|  | 6 | DT | 81.8 | 3.25 | 79.1 | 2.89 |
|  | 7 | DT | 83.2 | 2.94 | 78.9 | 2.64 |
|  | 8 | DT | 84.8 | 3.41 | 80.2 | 2.44 |
|  | 9 | DT | 81.8 | 2.92 | 83.7 | 2.96 |
|  | 10 | DT | 82.7 | 2.43 | 85.1 | 3.24 |
| AVERAGE |  |  | 83.5 | 3.09 | 81.6 | 2.86 |
|  | 1 | JS | 84.0 | 2.78 | 79.9 | 2.01 |
|  | 2 | JS | 81.6 | 3.49 | 85.9 | 3.28 |
|  | 3 | $J 5$ | 83.2 | 2.89 | 79.9 | 2.73 |
| Straight | 4 | J S | 86.2 | 2.88 | 80.8 | 2.27 |
| mper \#2 | 5 | JS | 81.8 | 2.68 | 78.3 | 2.57 |
|  | 6 | DT | 83.7 | 3.07 | 87.5 | 3.42 |
|  | 7 | DT | 87.5 | 3.31 | 85.6 | 3.72 |
|  | 8 | DT | 84.0 | 3.25 | 82.9 | 3.25 |
|  | 9 | DT | 79.9 | 2.39 | 77.8 | 2.19 |
|  | 10 | DT | 80.8 | 2.73 | 81.8 | 2.87 |
| AVERAGE |  |  | 83.3 | 2.95 | 82.0 | 2.83 |
|  | 1 | JS | 84.8 | 3.82 | 75.6 | 2.17 |
|  | 2 | JS | 88.6 | 3.04 | 76.7 | 2.11 |
|  | 3 | JS | 88.3 | 2.66 | 84.0 | 2.60 |
| Parabola | 4 | JS | 82.4 | 3.47 | 82.7 | 2.72 |
| Taper \# 7 | 5 | JS | 79.7 | 2.38 | 83.5 | 2.76 |
| Dsn/Acpt | 6 | DT | 79.7 | 2.54 | 81.3 | 2.41 |
|  | 7 | DT | 82.4 | 2.49 | 86.7 | 2.55 |
|  | 8 | DT | 87.0 | 2.87 | 78.3 | 2.40 |
|  | 9 | DT | 82.4 | 3.75 | 75.3 | 2.16 |
|  | 10 | DT | 83.7 | 2.32 | 82.7 | 2.55 |
| AVERAGE |  |  | 83.9 | 2.93 | 80.7 | 2.44 |
|  | 1 | JS | 82.1 | 2.61 | 81.6 | 3.12 |
|  | 2 | JS | 81.6 | 2.58 | 81.3 | 2.85 |
|  | 3 | JS | 79.9 | 2.31 | 82.7 | 4.65 |
| Parabola | 4 | JS | 81.8 | 2.36 | 84.3 | 3.64 |
| Taper \#13 | 5 | JS | 83.2 | 2.34 | 83.5 | 3.11 |
| Dsn/Acpt | 6 | DT | 85.6 | 2.67 | 80.2 | 2.70 |
|  | 7 | DT | 74.0 | 2.17 | 81.8 | 2.47 |
|  | 8 | DT | 80.8 | 3.81 | 85.1 | 3.42 |
|  | 9 | DT | 79.1 | 3.71 | 85.4 | 3.26 |
|  | 10 | DT | 86.2 | 2.93 | 82.9 | 3.08 |
| 2.JERAGE |  |  | 81.4 | 2.75 | 82.9 | 3.23 |




