M/700 Trigger Pull Study

S. Franz 9/14/2007

DOES MEASUREMENT METHOD YIELD DIFFERENT TRIGGER PULL RESULTS?

One-way Analysis of Variance SAFETY CYCLED

| Analysis | of Var | riance | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|---------|--------|-----------|-------------|----------|------|
| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | P | | |
| Factor | 2 | 70.797 | 35.399 | 89.44 | 0.000 | | |
| Error | 447 | 176.911 | 0.396 | | | | |
| Total | 449 | 247.708 | | | | | |
| | | | | Individua | 1 95% CIs 1 | For Mean | |
| | | | | Based on | Pooled StDe | eΛ | |
| Level | N | Mean | StDev | + | + | + | + |
| CH SC | 150 | 4.1950 | 0.5593 | (*) | | | |
| LY SC | 150 | 5.1644 | 0.6919 | | | | (*-) |
| D SC | 150 | 4.7362 | 0.6292 | | () | *) | |
| | | | | + | + | + | |
| Pooled StDev = | | 0.6291 | | 4.20 | 4.55 | 4.90 | 5.25 |

- Different measurement systems yield statistically different results.
- Chatillon spring scale yields lower trigger pulls on average.
 - o .97 lbs. compared to Lyman
 - o .54 lbs compared to Dvorak

One-way Analysis of Variance SAFETY NOT CYCLED

| Analysi | s of Var | riance | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|---------|--------|------------|---------|----------|------|
| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | P | | |
| Factor - | 2 | 62.023 | 31.011 | 63.77 | 0.000 | | |
| Error | | 217.383 | 0.486 | | | | |
| Total | 449 | 279.406 | | | | | |
| | | | | Individual | 1 95% C | Is For M | ean |
| | | | | Based on 1 | Pooled | StDev | |
| Level | N | Mean | StDev | | -+ | + | + |
| CH NSC | 150 | 4.3133 | 0.5780 | (*) | | | |
| LY NSC | 150 | 5.1174 | 0.8342 | | | | (*) |
| DV NSC | 150 | 5.0833 | 0.6550 | | | | (*) |
| | | | | | -+ | + | + |
| Pooled StDev = 0.6974 | | | | 4 | .50 | 4.80 | 5.10 |
| | | | | | | | |

- Again, different measurement systems yield statistically different results.
- Chatillon spring scale yields lower trigger pulls on average.
 - o .80 lbs. compared to Lyman
 - o .77 lbs compared to Dvorak

DOES CYCLING THE SAFETY AFFECT TRIGGER PULL RESULTS?

One-way Analysis of Variance CHATILLON – SAFETY CYCLED Vs NOT CYCLED

| Analysis | of Vari | Lance | | | | | | |
|----------|---------|--------|--------|------------|---------|-----------|------|--|
| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | P | | | |
| Factor | 1 | 1.050 | 1.050 | 3.25 | 0.073 | | | |
| Error | 298 | 96.382 | 0.323 | | | | | |
| Total | 299 | 97.432 | | | | | | |
| | | | | Individual | . 95% C | Is For Me | an | |
| | | | | Based on P | ooled: | StDev | | |
| Level | N | Mean | StDev | | + | + | + | |
| CH SC | 150 | 4.1950 | 0.5593 | (| * |) | | |
| CH NSC | 150 | 4.3133 | 0.5780 | | (| * |) | |
| | | | | | + | + | + | |
| Pooled S | tDev = | 0.5687 | | 4. | 20 | 4.30 | 4.40 | |

• No statistical difference at the 95% Confidence Level with the Chatillon

One-way Analysis of Variance LYMAN - SAFETY CYCLED Vs NOT CYCLED

| Analysis | of Var | riance | | | | | |
|----------|--------|---------|--------|------------|-------------|--------|-------|
| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | P | | |
| Factor | 1 | 0.166 | 0.166 | 0.28 | 0.596 | | |
| Error | 298 | 175.010 | 0.587 | | | | |
| Total | 299 | 175.176 | | | | | |
| | | | | Individual | 95% CIs For | r Mean | |
| | | | | Based on P | ooled StDev | | |
| Level | N | Mean | StDev | + | | + | + |
| LY SC | 150 | 5.1644 | 0.6919 | (| | _* |) |
| LY NSC | 150 | 5.1174 | 0.8342 | (| * |) | |
| | | | | + | + | + | + |
| Pooled S | tDev = | 0.7663 | | 5.040 | 5.120 | 5.200 | 5.280 |

• No statistical difference at the 95% Confidence Level with the Lyman gage

One-way Analysis of Variance Dvorak - SAFETY CYCLED Vs NOT CYCLED

| Analysis | of Var | riance | | | | | | |
|----------|--------|---------|--------|----------|-----------|------------|------|------|
| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | P | | | |
| Factor | 1 | 9.037 | 9.037 | 21.91 | 0.000 | | | |
| Error | 298 | 122.903 | 0.412 | | | | | |
| Total | 299 | 131.940 | | | | | | |
| | | | | Individu | al 95% CI | s For Mean | | |
| | | | | Based on | Pooled S | tDev | | |
| Level | N | Mean | StDev | + | + | + | | |
| DV SC | 150 | 4.7362 | 0.6292 | (| *) | | | |
| DV NSC | 150 | 5.0833 | 0.6550 | | | (| * | ·-) |
| | | | | + | + | + | + | |
| Pooled S | tDev = | 0.6422 | | 4.65 | 4.80 | 4.95 | 5.10 | |
| | | | | | | | | |

- There is a statistical difference at the 95% Confidence Level with the Dvorak gage.
- Not cycling the Safety increases Trigger Pull by .35 lbs. with the Dvorak gage.

IS THERE A DIFFERENCE IN TRIGGER PULL BETWEEN TRIAL 1,2, & 3?

None of the measurement methods (Safety Cycled or Not) show any difference in Trigger Pull between trial #1, 2, & 3 except the Lyman Gage with No Safety Cycled.

One-way Analysis of Variance LYMAN – NO SAFETY CYCLED

| Analysis | of Var | ciance for | LY NSC | | | | |
|----------|--------|------------|--------|-----------|--------------|--------|------|
| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | P | | |
| TP Trial | . 2 | 5.605 | 2.803 | 4.20 | 0.017 | | |
| Error | 147 | 98.084 | 0.667 | | | | |
| Total | 149 | 103.689 | | | | | |
| | | | | Individua | l 95% CIs Fo | r Mean | |
| | | | | Based on | Pooled StDev | | |
| Level | N | Mean | StDev | + | | + | + |
| 1 | 50 | 4.8466 | 0.8914 | (| -*) | | |
| 2 | 50 | 5.2853 | 0.7896 | | (| * |) |
| 3 | 50 | 5.2202 | 0.7640 | | (| * |) |
| | | | | + | + | + | + |
| Pooled S | tDev = | 0.8168 | | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.25 | 5.50 |
| | | | | | | | |

• With the Lyman – NSC, the first measurement is lower than trial 2 or 3 by about .4 lbs.