6. Production can't absorb efficiently more than one major new model per year--especially in the earlier years.
7. Introduction in 1976 is very tight from R&D's and Production's standpoints, and a '76 introduction will probably require committing approximately $1/2MM prior to the construction project authorization for tooling designs, etc.
8. To satisfy all these criteria, we've tentatively concluded that the best sequence looks like this:

    1976 - 742 X Magnum
    1977 - 1100 X 12 Ga. Incl. 3" Mag.
    1978 - 870 X " " " " "
    1979 - 742 X Standard
    1980 - 1100 X and 870 X Small Gages

    This leaves the 760 X models beyond our analysis period, but this shouldn't adversely affect our economic projections because they're the lowest volume models.

Some additional preliminary conclusions are these:

1. Because the "X" family guns will be additive to our current line, we can project no significant space or facilities savings by spacing out the introductions in say 2- or 3-year increments. In other words,