



ARTHENTAL CORRESPONDENCE



cc: E. Hooton, Jr.

J. G. Williams

J. P. Glas

C. A. Riley

P. H. Holmberg

W. H. Forson

C. B. Workman

K. D. Green

J. H. Chisnall

J. A. Stekl

R. L. St. John

J. H. Carter →J. P. Linde

R. B. Sperling

Bridgeport, Connecticut February 11, 1982

H. K. BOYLE

## SERVICE REQUIREMENT FOR MODEL 700 RELATIVE TO REMOVAL OF BOLT LOCK (REVISED 2/11/82)

With removal of the bolt lock feature from the Model 700, several questions have arisen with hegard to repairs. This note sets forth Marketing's desires for handling repairs and/or replacements of Model 700's.

The various Arms Service repairs should be handled following these guidelines:

Receiver and trigger assembly not involved in repair.

No change is to be made to the bolt lock. bolt lock standpoint, the gun is to be returned in the same condition it was received.


o Receiver or trigger assembly involved in repair but bolt lock is not affected.

If the repairs can be made without impacting the bolt lock, they should be done that way.

o Receiver or trigger assembly must be replaced as part of the repair.

> If possible, the same guidelines as above should be followed since it is desirable that the features of the firearm not be changed during a repair. However, if parts are not available to make such repairs, then a new receiver or trigger assembly, without the built lock, should be used. The customer does not need to be notified of this change.

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 3041



H. K. BOYLE - ? -February 11, 1982 Replacement of Model 40%, Model 600, and 660 parts should be handled the same way. If the bolt lock feature is changed as a result of a repair, we do not need to inform the customer. Repairs made by our Recommended Gunsmiths should follow this same philosphy. This siutation would arise only when the trigger assembly is replaced, since the receiver is a restricted FTM: fms

AL 10/12/432 2/92