

In every case the Fire Control could not be made to mainsaction.

We have inspected the questionable Fire Control and made the following observations:

- 1. Trigger pull 5 3/4 pounds; trigger returns to initial position when partially pulled and released.
- 2. Adequate clearance between connector and sear in "dn safe" position.
- 3. Adjusting screws not tampered with all three sealed.
- 4. Connector- Sear engagement ok.

10f3 PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 3107

AL 0023594

Td C. B. Workman - 2 -May 2, 1977 Frem: J. P. Linde M/700 Fire Control Subject: Returned by Field Service Representatives 5. No deformation on top of side plates which could hang up sear. The safety detents very positive. 6. 7. Trigger Assembly clean, 8, Trigger housing cross pins tight to receiver. Sear engagement surface sharp. No wear or binding marks on sear. 10. 11. The engagement surface on sear has been pollshed by customer (note No. 9). 12. The firing pin head was bearing at the top of the sear surface. This would have no effect on given problem. 13. There were two tiny burrs around the trigger pin holes. The connector is tight to trigger; pulle away hard. 14. 15. Rust on trigger and connector. No rust on trigger pis. 16. Burr on trigger pull weight spring hole. Second to have no effect on fire control operation. 17. Rust in housing. The only abnormal condition noted in this Fire Control was the promoting rules on the connector, trigger and inside housing surface. The only feasible explanation of malfunction as described would require the following conditions [If the customer stored the rifle in the fired condition (firing pin forward, sear rotated down, flat connector forward) for a period of time and rust formed between the connector and trigger and connector and fire control housing, this would tend to hold the Connector forward. If the customer loaded the rifle, and closed the bolt with the safety in the

2of3

AL 0023595

C. B. Workman - 3-J. P. Linde M/700 Fire Control Returned by Field Service Representatives

"on safe" position, the cam on the safety lever would hold the sear, disconnecting the trigger from the firing pin assembly. When the customer released the safety, the firing pin would fall as the cam on the safety lever was retaining the sear.

May 2, 1977

This is a possible explanation and not necessarily what happened. The explanation would only apply if the shooter loaded his rifle without functioning it first to make sure everything worked. It would also only apply if the shooter put the rifle in the "on safe" position before closing the bolt; if he closed the bolt with the safety in the fire position he would get a follow down maximuction.

The rust explanation has one attribute; once the connector breaks loose the fire control will work perfectly and the condition will not be able to be duplicated.

30f3

AL 0028596

J. P. Linde/al Ilion Research Division

Th

Priorit: 7 Subjecti