xc:

W.H. Coleman, II/File

T.C. Douglas T.P. Powers L.B. Bosquet D.R. Thomas

File

RESEARCH TEST AND MEASUREMENT REPORT

REPORT# 883122 W.O. #481104 JANUARY 9, 1989

DESIGN ACCEPTANCE FOR STRAIGHT TAPER TURKEY CHOKE TUBES

2

RP# 883122

DESIGN ACCEPTANCE FOR STRAIGHT-TAPER TURKEY CHOKE TUBES

### ABSTRACT:

Research finds the design change of the turkey choke tube, from a parabolic taper to a straight taper, to be acceptable. The evaluation consisted of, patterns shot at 40 yards, using one Model 11-87, two barrels, two with straight taper and two with parabolic taper choke tubes.

Prepared by: D.R. Thomas
Date Prepared: JANUARY 9, 1989

proofread and cleared by:

J.R. Snedeker Staff Engineer

W.H. Coleman, II New Products Research Lab Director

**BARBER - PRESALE R 0117511** 

RP# 883122

# DESIGN ACCEPTANCE FOR STRAIGHT-TAPERED TURKEY CHOKE TUBES

TO: J.R. Snedeker FROM: D.R. Thomas

### INTRODUCTION:

On November 7, 1988 a request was received from T. Powers to conduct a Design Acceptance test on the proposed change in 12 gauge turkey choke tubes. The parabolic taper was changed to a straight taper to facilitate inspection of the tube. The test was done to assure that no pattern performance would be lost due to the change.

The test would use one Model 11-87 12 gauge shotgun, two barrels, two straight taper choke tubes, and two parabolic taper choke tubes. The testing was to compare pattern densities and central thickening of the patterns shot with the two designs.

### SCOPE OF TEST:

To verify that the proposed straight taper design would perform as well as the parabolic taper in pattern density and central thickening.

## TEST RESULTS:

The straight taper tube is comparable to the parabolic taper tube in density and central thickening.

4

RP# 883122

#### REPORT TEXT:

## **EQUIPMENT REQUIRED:**

GUN: Model 11-87 serial number P170340V 2 choke tubes with a parabolic taper 2 choke tubes with a straight taper

AMMUNITION: Remington SP12NM-6 (3in., 1 5/8oz., 4 dr. eq. Nitro Magnum)
Lot number 6201504

SHOOTERS: D.Thomas and J. Selan



Five patterns were shot per shooter, per barrel, per choke tube, for a total of eighty patterns. Five cartridges were cut down to determine a pellet count.

Patterns were shot by D.R. Thomas and J.E. Selan in the Research and Development pattern range located in building 52-1A.

Remington ammunition code SP12NM-6 lot# 6201504 was used for all patterns.

The patterns were analyzed for pattern density and central thickening using the HP9000 and digitizing tablet.

5

RP# 883122

An Analysis of Variance evaluation of the choke tube patterns for the Straight Taper tube vs. the Parabolic taper tube shows that there is not a significant difference between the two types for pattern percentage at the 95% level of confidence.

ANALYSIS	OF VAR	IANCE (patt	ern perce	ntages)				
SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	р			
FACTOR	1	2.81	2.81	0.31	0.581			
ERROR	78	713.30	9.14	•				
TOTAL	79	716.11						
				INDIVIDUAL			R MEAN	
				BASED ON PO	OOLED ST	DEV		
LEVEL	N	MEAN	STDEV	+		+	+	
str-tapr	40	82.598	2.695	(		*	)	
par-tapr	40	82.223	3.320	(	*		)	
				+		+	+	
POOLED ST				81.9				

The critical F value  $[F(.05)\{1,78\}]$  for this set of data is ~ 3.96 Therefore, since the observed F value is 0.31 < 3.96 we must accept the null hypothesis (i.e.there is no significant difference between the two groups tive to pattern %).

The analysis further shows that there is not a significant difference between the two types of choketubes relative to Central Thickening (at the 95% level of confidence).

ANALYSIS OF VAR	RIANCE (centi	ral thic	kening)				
SOURCE DF	SS	MS	F	p			
FACTOR 1	0.263	0.263	0.96	0.331			
ERROR 78	21.476	0.275					
TOTAL 79	21.739						
			INDIVIDUAL	95 PCT	CI'S FOR	MEAN	
			BASED ON PO	OOLED S	TDEV		
LEVEL N	MEAN	STDEV	+	+	+	+	_
STR-TAPR 40	2.9537	0.4694	(		*	)	
PAR-TAPR 40	2.8390	0.5747	(	_*	)	•	
,			+	+	<del>-</del>		_
POOLED STDEV =	0.5247		2.70	2.85	3.00	3.15	



## DATA SHEET

RP#883122

TURKEY CHOKE TUBE PATTERNS using Nitro Mag 6's (SP12NM-6) 369 pellet avg.

	pat.#	shooter JS	26 in. pat.% 84.8	barrel #1 cen. thk. 2.82	26 in. pat.% 82.4	barrel #4 cen. thk. 3.54
	2	JS	84.8	2.82	84.3	2.62
	3	JS	87.8	3.00	76.6	2.25
Straight	4	JS	80.5	2.71	82.9	3.03
Taper #1	5	JS	82.9	4.56	82.4	3.00
•	6	DT	81.8	3.25	79.1	2.89
	7	DT	83.2	2.94	78.9	2.64
	8	DT	84.8	3.41	80.2	2.44
	9	DT	81.8	2.92	83.7	2.96
	10	DT	82.7	2.43	85.1	3.24
AVERAGE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		83.5	3.09	81.6	2.86
	1	JS	84.0	2.78	79.9	2.01
	2	JS	81.6	3.49	85.9	3.28
	3	JS	83.2	2.89	79.9	2.73
Straight	4	JS	86.2	2.88	80.8	2.27
Paper #2	<u>5</u>	JS	81.8	2.68	78.3	2.57
F " -	6	DT	83.7	3.07	87.5	3.42
	7	DT	87.5	3.31	85.6	3.72
	8	DT	84.0	3.25	82.9	3.25
	9	DT	79.9	2.39	77.8	2.19
	10	DT_	80.8	2.73	81.8	2.87
AVERAGE			83.3	2.95	82.0	2.83
	1.	JS	84.8	3.82	75.6	2.17
	2	JS	88.6	3.04	76.7	2.11
_ , ,	3	JS	88.3	2.66	84.0	2.60
Parabola	4	JS	82.4	3.47	82.7	2.72
Taper #7	<u>5</u>	<u>JS</u>	79.7	2.38	83.5	2.76
Dsn/Acpt	7	DT	79.7	2.54	81.3	2.41
	8	DT	82.4	2.49	86.7	2.55
	9	DT	87.0	2.87	78.3 75.3	2.40 2.16
	10	DT DT	82.4 83.7	3.75 2.32	73.3 82.7	2.55
AVERAGE	10		83.9	2.93	80.7	2.44
AVERMOE	1	JS	82.1	2.61	81.6	3.12
	2	JS	81.6	2.58	81.3	2.85
	2 3	JS	79.9	2.31	82.7	4.65
Parabola	4	JS	81.8	2.36	84.3	3.64
Taper #13	5	JS	83.2	2.34	83.5	3.11
Dsn/Acpt	<u>5</u>	DT	85.6	2.67	80.2	$\frac{3.11}{2.70}$
	7	DT	74.0	2.17	81.8	2.47
	8	DT	80.8	3.81	85.1	3.42
	9	DT	79.1	3.71	85.4	3.26
	10	DT	86.2	2.93	82.9	3.08
ERAGE			81.4	2.75	82.9	3.23
_						<del></del>



