This being the process, the 62 504 returned were not handled properly in SAP (DMS is fine) and we have overpaid excise taxes. To correct, we need an RGA for 62 504 to correct our SAP inventory.

If you have any questions or comments (or know a better way), please let me know.

Thanks, Danny Chamblee Accounting Manager Remington Arms Company, Inc. Mayfield Plant 22 Rifle Trail, PO Box 99 Hickory, Ky 42051 Tel: (270)856-4210 Fax:(270)856-3233 E-Mail: danny.chamblee@remington.com Visit Remington on the Web at remington.com

-----Original Message-----From: Reesor, Phillip K. Sent: Friday, March 12, 2004 1:04 PM To: Chamblee, Danny

Subject: RE: 710 Receiver Insert Test

Not sure what the test involves, I will do everything possible to have returnable and sellable guns at the end of the test. Also I have some gun right now that would be returned. Thave 7- 30.06 rifles that were sent for the first safety detent test that was never done and thave 6-30.06 & 6-270 rifles that were not shot but were drop tested (one with a stripped receiver take down screw hole).

Phillip

-----Original Message-----From: Chamblee, Danny Sent: Friday, March 12, 2004 1:24 PM

To: Reesor, Phillip K.

Cc: Boyles, Derek; Carrington, John M.; Golemboski, Matt R.

Subject: FW: 710 Receiver Insert Test

Phillip,

Charge account 8230150 Cost Center 28200. When can we expect the guns back? Also can we expect these to be guns that can be sold to customers (i.e. low # of round with no destructive tests)? We are in a backorder inventory position with 710s.

If you need any additional information, please let me know

Thanks, Danny Chamblee Accounting Manager Remington Arms Company, Inc. Mayfield Plant 22 Rifle Trail, PO Box 99 Hickory, Ky 42051 Tel: (270)856-4210 Fax:(270)856-3233 E-Mail: danny.chamblee@remington.com

Visit Remington on the Web at remington.com

----Original Message----From: Chamblee, Danny Sent: Friday, March 12, 2004 9:25 AM

To: Reesor, Phillip K.

Cc: Boyles, Derek; Byrd, Shane R.; Roudabush, Kathy

Subject: 710 Receiver Insert Test

Phillip,

Subject to Protective Order - Williams v. Remington