

Subj: RE: Remington
Date: 7/11/2012 10:07:57 A.M. Mountain Daylight Time
From: [REDACTED]
To: RichardRamler@aol.com

[REDACTED]

Counselor,

It turns out that I had a copy of my separation agreement in my laptop.

Also, I thought you might be interested in an excerpt from my initial contact in this matter.

To: XXXXXXXX

Re: Reminton M/700

I watched with interest CNBC's "expose" of the M/700 fire control problem. I held various positions with Remington during the 70's, 80's and 90's including *Manager, Technical Services (R&D)*, *Superintendent, Product Engineering & Control*, (included all QC functions), *Director of International Technology*, etc. I have also served as expert witness for Remington, although not on M/700 issues.

During the mid 90's I was the guy assigned to handle a 60 MINUTES interview on the same subject. It was with, as I recall, Ed Bradley's producer. I apparently did okay, as they never chose to air a story.

I was also the guy who initiated and led the NBAR program before moving on to other things.

So much for the bona fides.

What surprised me about the CNBC piece was their failure to mention a very damaging piece of evidence, that being the numerous FSR incidents in Remington's own factory, mostly on brand new guns. These occurred due to a tiny sliver of metal being created during the fire control assembly operation. That sliver would get lodged in a position such that the trigger would not return to the neutral position. The safety was then released and... BAM. In the field, any foreign object of about the same size could, and probably has, produced the same result.

If Remington has managed to shield these incidents from your discovery process, they have done a pretty thorough job of "cleaning things up".

Thought you'd want to know.

I have been ambivalent about this situation for years. I guess I agree with my old friend Mike Walker. There was nothing sinister about Remington's actions, at least at the engineering level. But you don't have to be sinister to be wrong.

If I can be of assistance, let me know.

As I do not know who is the lead attorney in this matter, I have sent a similar note to Attorney XXXXX.

Regards,

Ken Soucy

[REDACTED]

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and may contain information which is legally privileged or otherwise exempt from disclosure. They are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom this e-mail is addressed. If you are not one of the named recipients or otherwise have reason to believe

Wednesday, July 11, 2012 AOL: RichardRamler