

understood with appropriate action prior to test #3.

>
>14) Scope Rail Deformation - During test #2 Etown observed deformation of the scope rail greater than what was observed during DAT. On further investigation it was determined that the deformation was caused by a very heavy high-end scope which was mounted on the product to do the accuracy evaluation. No further action is planned.

>
>15) Pillar Bedding on Hang Tag - Mayfield will obtain new tags to correct this claim.

>
>16) Magazine Box Removal - During test #2 Etown continued to observe on some product that the magazine box became more difficult to remove as rounds were put on the product. There is general agreement that this is a result of deformation of the magazine box in excess of 200 rounds. Etown does not consider this a continuing issue and there are no plans to change the design or process. Marketing has the final call on acceptability.

>
>17) Extractor Sticking - During test #2 Etown had one firearm which demonstrated a sticking extractor very early in test (28 mds). This bolt has been returned to Mayfield for evaluation. Analysis and resultant actions will be required prior to test #3.

>
>18) Safety in Fire State - One firearm received for test #2 had the safety in the fire state out-of-box. Mayfield will review process and inspect as required.

>
>Please let me know of any issues / disagreements / omissions as soon as possible.

>Regards,

>Dale

>

>

>

Subject to Protective Order - Williams v. Remington

BARBER 5.30.06R0004227

ETE00002226