Dale Danner

From: Danner, Dale

Sent: 11/08/2000 01:14:51 PM To: Golemboski, Matt R.

CC: Zajk, Joseph J; Franz, Scott; Keeney, Mike

BCC:

Subject: FW: 710 T & P

Matt,

Per our telecon earlier attached is the summary of issues and actions based on yesterday's visit by Franz/Keeney. I'd like to discuss each of these issues via telecon so Etown understands the specific actions you have taken to rework remaining product toward restarting T&P. PIs have a look and let me know what time works for you to discuss.

Thks, Dale

>-----

>From: Franz, Scott

>Sent: Wednesday, November 08, 2000 1:06 PM

>To: Danner, Dale >Subject: 710 T & P >Importance: High

>

> On Tuesday Mike Keeney and myself visited Mayfield to jointly investigate the issues raised during Trial & Pilot testing. A total of seven guits were brought back. The gun and the reason for return are listed below.

```
GUN
       SERIAL NO.
                               ISSUE
                               Headspace - Wor't close on E-town's Min. Gauge
A-2
        71001425
        71001004
                               Fire Control - Follow Down
A-14
        71001136
                               Fire Confrol * Follow Down and fire on bolt closing
A-26
        71001267
                               Trigger location in stock
A-5
A-13
        71001132
                                Trigger location in stock
        71001439
A-18
                               Trigger location in stock
        71001393
A-25
                               Trigger location in stock
```

> It was noticed during T&P that the location of the trigger in the trigger guard varied considerably both side to side and front to back. Gims A-5, A-13, A-18 and A-25 were chosen to show the extremes of this trigger location variation.

>During this trip the following was discovered:

- 1. Gun A-2 was examined first. The bolt did close on Mayfield's GO gauge as it should. E-town's headspace gauges were never updated after dimensional changes were made to the .30-06 cal. chamber. This is no longer a T & P issue. E-town gauges will be updated.
- 2. Trigger location front to back was investigated next. It was determined that the trigger was bent. The cause of this bending was isolated to the proof test fixture that remotely fires the gun. Mayfield has already made a change to this fixture and the current setup does not bend triggers. Most of the T & P product was tested in the proof test fixture before this change was made. As a result a high percentage of triggers are bent.
- > 3. Side to side trigger variation was attributed to stock deformation. A change to the stock mold cooling system has been made. Stocks run with this hot manifold modification exhibit less sink and distortion.

Subject to Protective Order - Williams v. Remington